Issue Docket

Conference Committee on House Bill 1

2012-13 General Appropriations Bill

Article IV - The Judiciary

ISSUE DOCKET

Conference Committee on General Appropriations Bill

| | <u>H</u> | <u>ouse</u> | <u>Se</u> | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS | ı | V-1 | 1\ | <u></u> /-1 ⊪ | | |
| A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 5,533,364 | \$ 5,440,865 | \$ 5,478,095 | \$ 5,385,596 | \$ 110,538 | House includes \$110,538 in General Revenue for legal reference materials funding, which is transferred to Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Operations, State Law Library by Special Provisions - Judiciary contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program (see also House Sec. 11. Special Provisions - Judiciary, IV-38). Senate includes funding for Supreme Court legal reference materials in the bill pattern for |
| B.1.1, Basic Civil Legal Services | \$ 21,091,050 | \$ 21,091,050 | \$ 13,863,475 | \$ 13,863,475 | \$ 14,455,150 | the State Law Library. House provides \$20 million for Basic Civil Legal Services from Judicial Fund No. 573 contingent on passage of Senate Bill 726 or similar legislation (see also House, Sec. 12. Special Provisions - Judiciary, IV-39). |
| | | l | _ Legislative Budget B | oard | | Senate provides \$5.5 million for Basic Civil Legal Services from Judicial Fund No. 573. See also Article XI Senate, XI-3. |

5/2/2011 10:21 AM

| | | <u>use</u> | | nate | Biennial | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|------|------------------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Appropriation: Basic Civil Legal Services | IV-2, R Rider Pa | ider #2 cket IV-1 | | Rider #2 acket IV-1 | | Consistent with funding decisions: House provides any fee revenue in Judicial Fund No. 573 in excess of \$18.6 million per year to the Supreme Court for Basic Civil Legal Services; and |
| | | | | | | Senate provides any fee revenue in Judicial Fund No. 573 in excess of \$11.4 million per year to the Supreme Court for Basic Civil Legal Services. |
| Legal Reference Materials | IV-3, R Rider Pa | ider #9 cket IV-1 | | | | House includes rider identifying legal reference materials funding for the Supreme Court. |
| | See Article | Also XI, XI-5 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| Agency/Item 2012 2013 2012 2013 Difference Explanation COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS Total FTEs 68.0 70.0 2.0 A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 198,394 House includes \$81,606 in Ger for legal reference materials fur is transferred to Strategy A.1.1, Law Library by Special Provision contingent on the implementating Amnesty Program (see also Ho Special Provisions - Judiciary, provisions - | |
|--|---|
| Total FTEs 68.0 70.0 2.0 A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 198,394 House includes \$81,606 in Ger for legal reference materials fur is transferred to Strategy A.1.1, Law Library by Special Provision contingent on the implementatic Amnesty Program (see also Ho Special Provisions - Judiciary, page 14.1). Senate includes funding for Co | <u>1</u> |
| A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,038,628 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 5,137,825 \$ 198,394 House includes \$81,606 in Ger for legal reference materials fur is transferred to Strategy A.1.1, Law Library by Special Provision contingent on the implementation Amnesty Program (see also House Special Provisions - Judiciary, part of the special Provisions - Judiciary - Judic | |
| for legal reference materials fur is transferred to Strategy A.1.1, Law Library by Special Provision contingent on the implementation of Amnesty Program (see also How Special Provisions - Judiciary, program (see also How Special Provisions - Judiciary, program (see also How Special Provisions - Judiciary). | |
| Appeals legal reference materia pattern for the State Law Librar Senate provides \$280,000 (\$14 year) in General Revenue to profor two vacant staff attorney pos | nding, which of the State ons - Judiciary on of a Tax ouse, Sec. 11. page IV-38). The order of Criminal als in the bill y. 10,000 per ovide funding |

| Aganaviltan | <u>Ho</u> 2012 | <u>use</u> 2013 | <u>Se</u> 2012 | nate 2013 | Biennial Difference | Evalenction |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540 Reclassification | | | | ider #11 acket IV-2 | | Senate includes informational rider relating to reclassification of the Judicial and Court Personnel Training Fund No. 540. Reclassification dependent on enactment of Senate Bill 1582 or similar legislation. |
| Legal Reference Materials | | ider #11 cket IV-2 | | | | House includes rider identifying legal reference materials funding for the Court of Criminal Appeals. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

Conference Committee on General Appropriations Bill

| | | <u>ouse</u> | | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|---|--------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| 14 COURTS OF APPEALS | Appeals at 98 perc | in the second of the second of the second of 2010-11 Generoles. Total difference between the second of the second | ral Revenue appropr | iated levels or at 100 | percent of each | |
| Total FTEs | 39 | | 41. | 3.6 | 20.0 | |
| First Court of Appeals, Houston A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 3,684,921 | /-6 \$ 3,684,921 | \$ 3,791,038 | 7-6 \$ 3,791,038 | \$ 212,234 | Senate provides \$212,234 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (2.0 FTEs). |
| Second Court of Appeals, Fort Worth A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 2,798,017 | /-7 \$ 2,798,016 | | /-7 \$ 2,877,625 | \$ 159,219 | Senate provides \$159,219 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (2.0 FTEs). |
| Third Court of Appeals, Austin A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 2,444,792 | /-8 \$ 2,444,793 | | /-8 \$ 2,514,579 | \$ 139,572 | Senate provides \$139,572 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (0.0 FTEs). |
| | I | 1 | | i | I | I |

Legislative Budget Board 5/2/2011 10:21 AM

| | Ho | ouse | Sen | ate | Biennial | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Fourth Court of Appeals, San Antonio A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | l' \$ 2,828,224 | V-9 \$ 2,828,223 | IV- \$ 2,909,135 | - | \$ 161,822 | Senate provides \$161,822 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (2.0 FTEs). |
| Fifth Court of Appeals, Dallas A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | I\ \$ 5,038,066 | /-11 \$ 5,038,066 | IV- \$ 5,183,712 | | \$ 291,292 | Senate provides \$291,292 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (4.0 FTEs). |
| Sixth Court of Appeals, Texarkana A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | I\ \$ 1,325,059 | /-12 \$ 1,325,058 | IV- \$ 1,363,857 | | \$ 77,596 | Senate provides \$77,596 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (0.0 FTEs). |
| Seventh Court of Appeals, Amarillo A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | I\ \$ 1,638,423 | /-13 \$ 1,638,423 | IV- \$ 1,686,039 | - | \$ 95,231 | Senate provides \$95,231 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (1.0 FTE). |

| | Hc | <u>ouse</u> | <u>Sei</u> | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Eighth Court of Appeals, El Paso A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | 1\(\sqrt{\$}\) | 7-14 \$ 1,354,556 | | 14 \$ 1,393,370 | \$ 77,628 | Senate provides \$77,628 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (2.0 FTEs). |
| Ninth Court of Appeals, Beaumont A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | IV \$ 1,633,793 | /-15 \$ 1,633,793 | | 15 \$ 1,681,262 | \$ 94,938 | Senate provides \$94,938 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (1.0 FTE). |
| Tenth Court of Appeals, Waco A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | IV \$ 1,313,851 | /-16 \$ 1,313,851 | | .17 \$ 1,352,106 | \$ 76,511 | Senate provides \$76,511 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (0.0 FTEs). |
| Eleventh Court of Appeals, Eastland A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | 1,325,092 | 7-17 \$ 1,325,092 | | 18 \$ 1,363,765 | \$ 77,346 | Senate provides \$77,346 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (1.0 FTE). |

| | Ho | use | | [| <u>Sei</u> | nate | | | Biennial | |
|---|------------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | D | ifference | Explanation |
| Twelfth Court of Appeals, Tyler A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ IV- 1,347,286 | | 1,347,285 | \$ | IV 1,386,802 | -19 \$ | 1,386,801 | \$ | 79,032 | Senate provides \$79,032 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (1.0 FTE). |
| Thirteenth Court of Appeals, Corpus Christi- Edinburg | IV- | -20 | | | IV | -20 | | | | |
| A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 2,457,382 | \$ | 2,457,382 | \$ | 2,527,503 | \$ | 2,527,503 | \$ | 140,242 | Senate provides \$140,242 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (1.0 FTE). |
| Fourteenth Court of Appeals, Houston | IV- | -21 | | | IV | -21 | | | | |
| A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations | \$ 3,701,821 | - | 3,701,820 | \$ | 3,808,382 | _ | 3,808,381 | \$ | 213,122 | Senate provides \$213,122 in General Revenue to fund court at 98 percent of 2010-11 appropriated levels or 100 percent of the court's 2010-11 base (3.0 FTEs). |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | <u>Hou</u> | <u>ise</u> | Sen | ate_ | Biennial | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, TEXAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL | IV- | 22 | IV- | 22 | | |
| Total FTEs | 189 | 0.6 | 195 | 5.6 | 6.0 | |
| A.1.1, Court Administration | \$2,170,308 | \$2,172,442 | \$2,170,308 | \$2,172,442 | \$ | House provides funding of \$135,000 per fiscal year out of General Revenue and 2.4 FTEs for the Court Collection Improvement program contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| | | | | | | Senate provides funding from General Revenue. |
| A.1.2, Information Technology | \$2,704,601 | \$2,731,716 | \$2,704,601 | \$2,731,716 | \$ | House provides funding of \$469,817 per year out of General Revenue and 6 FTEs contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| ce of Court Administration | | • | ative Budget Board 2011 10:21 AM | | I | 10 c |
| Se of Court Authinionanoll | | 5/2/2 | ZUTT TU.ZTAWI | | | 10 (|

| | | use | | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| | | | | | | Senate provides funding from General Revenue. |
| A.1.4, Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 175,605 | \$ 175,605 | | House suspends state-funded administrative assistance to the nine presiding judges of the administrative judicial regions (including a reduction of 2 FTEs). |
| | | | | | | Senate provides \$351,210 to maintain state-funded support (\$307,422 from General Revenue and \$43,788 from Appropriated Receipts), including 2 FTEs. |
| A.2.1, Indigent Defense | \$ 31,644,040 | \$ 31,644,039 | \$ 31,644,040 | \$ 31,644,039 | | House provides \$4,307,033 in each fiscal year in Strategy A.2.1, Indigent Defense from the General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073, including 1 FTE contingent on passage of Senate Bill 726 or similar legislation. (See Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 12, IV-39.) Senate provides this amount in a direct appropriation from the General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073. |

| | Ho | <u>use</u> | <u>Sei</u> | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|---|------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| D.1.1, Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 381,727 | \$ 381,727 | | House provides the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney (OSPA) remain a stand alone agency with certain administrative duties performed by the Office of Court Administration (OCA) through an Interagency Contract. (See OSPA in issue docket.) House and Senate funding levels are the same (\$718,454 from General Revenue and \$45,000 from Interagency Contracts), including 4 FTEs. |
| | 1 | | | • | | |

| Supplemental Appropriations Made in Riders Contingency Appropriation for Legislation Relating to Certain License Fees (Rider #16) \$ 107,354 \$ 107,464 \$ 119,603 \$ 119,714 \$ 24,499 House provides appropriation for process server of for the purposes process servers including 2 FTEs Senate provides a. \$214,818 to process servers seguardians, FTEs; and | |
|---|--|
| Riders Contingency Appropriation for Legislation Relating to Certain License Fees (Rider #16) \$ 107,354 \$ 107,464 \$ 119,603 \$ 119,714 \$ 24,499 House provides appropriation for process server of for the purposes process servers including 2 FTEs Senate provides a. \$214,818 to process seguardians, FTEs; and | planation |
| Relating to Certain License Fees (Rider #16) appropriation for process server of for the purposes process servers including 2 FTEs Senate provides a. \$214,818 t process se guardians, FTEs; and | |
| 5 percent r Court Repo Certificatio (\$16,569) a Guardiansi Board and Review Bo expands tr of process contingent include cer reporters, a process se guardians. | revenue from rertification fees of certifying both and guardians, a (\$214,818). co certify both revers and including 2 opts rider that 24,499 to reverse reductions to the orters in Board and the hip Certification Process Server and (\$7,930), and he allowable use server fees in legislation to rtification of court as well as |
| used for concentification Legislative Budget Board | ourt reporter n.) |

| | <u>Ho</u> | <u>use</u> | <u>Sei</u> | nate | Biennial | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Information Regarding Allocation of Full-Time-Equivalent Positions Contingency Appropriation for Legislation | IV-24, Rider Pack | Rider #3 et, page IV-3 Rider #15 | IV-25, Rider Packe | Rider #3 et, page IV-3 Rider #15 | Difference | Senate rider includes 2 FTEs in Strategy A.1.4, Assistance to the Administrative Judicial Regions and 4 FTEs in Strategy D.1.1, Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals for the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney. Senate rider provides \$24,499 to |
| Relating to Certain License Fees | | et, page IV-3 | Rider Packe | et, page IV-3 | | reverse 5 percent reductions to the Court Reporters Certification Board (\$16,569) and the Guardianship Certification Board and Process Server Review Board (\$7,930) contingent on legislation, and expands the allowable use of process server fees to include certification of court reporters, as well as process servers and guardians. (Filed legislation does not allow fees to be used for court reporter certification.) |

| | <u>Hou</u> | se | <u>Senate</u> | | Biennial | |
|--|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Administrative Consolidation with the Office of Court Administration | | | IV-27, R Rider Packe | ider #16 it, page IV-3 | | Senate administratively consolidates the Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney (OSPA) with the Office of Court Administration (OCA). Rider directs OCA to provide the OSPA administrative assistance in budget, planning, purchasing, and required reporting. |
| | See also Article | xI. page XI-5 | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | | use | | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| OFFICE OF CAPITAL WRITS No issues. | IV- | 26 | IV | -27 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | Ho | use | Sen | ate_ | Biennial | |
|---|------------|------------|------|------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| OFFICE OF THE STATE PROSECUTING ATTORNEY | | -27 | IV- | | | |
| Total FTEs | 4 | .0 : | 0.0 |) | | No difference in House and Senate funding amounts. |
| A.1.1, Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals | \$ 381,727 | \$ 381,727 | \$ - | \$ - | | House provides funding for Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney as a stand alone agency. |
| | | | | | | Senate administratively consolidates the agency in Strategy D.1.1, Representation of the State before the Court of Criminal Appeals, at the Office of Court Administration. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | Ho | use | Sei | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| STATE LAW LIBRARY | IV | -28 | IV | -28 | | |
| Total FTEs | 13 | ! 3.0 ! | 8 | ! .0 ! | 5.0 | |
| A.1.1, Administration and Operations | \$ 971,502 | \$ 971,502 | \$ 645,572 | \$ 645,572 | \$ 651,860 | House provides \$1.9 million in All Funds for State Law Library operations (including 13.0 FTEs) contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program (see House, Sec. 11, Special Provisions - Judiciary, IV-38). Also House, Sec. 11, Special Provisions - Judiciary, transfers \$110,538 and \$81,606 in General Revenue from Strategy A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations, Supreme Court and Strategy A.1.1, Appellate Court Operations, Court of Criminal Appeals to Strategy A.1.1, Administration and Operations, State Law Library to purchase legal reference materials for the respective courts. |

| Aganaviltana | | <u>use</u> | | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | Evalenation |
|---|------|-----------------------|------|-------------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| | | | | | | Senate provides \$1.3 million in General Revenue for State Law Library operations (including 8.0 FTEs) to perform core library functions for courts and state agencies. Senate includes funding for legal reference materials for the Supreme Court and Court of Criminal Appeals. |
| Appropriation of Receipts: Fees and Service Charges | | Rider #2 cket IV-5 | | | | House includes rider appropriating all receipts collected above \$37,500 per fiscal year to the State Law Library. |
| Fee Schedule, Duplication Services | - | Rider #4 cket IV-5 | | | | House includes rider directing Law Library to set a fee schedule for duplication services for TDCJ inmates equal to the per page fee charged the general public. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | <u>Ho</u> | use | <u>Ser</u> | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| STATE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT | ĮV- | 30 | ĮV- | -29 | | |
| A.1.1, Administration and Operations | \$ 936,170 | \$ 936,169 | \$ 968,170 | \$ 952,169 | \$ 48,000 | Senate provides \$48,000 in General Revenue for: |
| | | | | | | a. Case database management software maintenance and upgrades (\$24,000); and b. Commission and investigative travel funding (\$24,000). |
| Formal Proceedings and Appeals | • | Rider #3 cket IV-8 | | : Rider #3 cket IV-8 | | House authorizes Commission to purchase case database management software in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 from funds normally restricted for formal proceedings. |
| | | | | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | <u>House</u> | | | | <u>Senate</u> | | | Ві | iennial | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|----------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------|---|----------|--------------------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 201 | 12 | | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2013 | Dif | ference | Explanation |
| JUDICIARY SECTION, COMPTROLLER'S DEPARTMENT | | IV- | 31 | | | IV- | -30 | | | | |
| A.1.1, District Judges B.1.1, District Attorney Salaries B.1.2, Professional Prosecutors: Salaries B.1.3, Felony Prosecutors: Salaries D.1.2, County Attorney Supplement Total | \$ 18,5 \$ 2 \$ 5,3 | 804,921 551,727 518,112 271,500 395,847 542,107 | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 57,804,921 551,727 18,518,112 271,500 5,397,847 82,544,107 | \$ \$ \$ \$ | 57,804,921 551,727 18,518,112 271,500 5,395,847 82,542,107 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 57,804,921 551,727 18,518,112 271,500 5,397,847 82,544,107 | | | Senate provides \$11,841,317 in additional receipts from the Judicial Fund No. 573 for salaries linked to the salary of a district judge, which results in a corresponding amount of General Revenue Savings. |
| Method of Finance General Revenue Judicial Fund No. 573 | \$ 33,2 | 262,996 279,111 542,107 | \$ \$ | 49,262,996 33,279,111 82,542,107 | \$ | 44,466,337 38,075,770 82,542,107 | | 42,218,338 40,323,769 82,542,107 | \$ \$ | 11,841,317 11,841,317 | |
| A.1.2, Visiting Judges - Regions | \$ 4,6 | 672,191 | \$ | 4,672,190 | \$ | 4,722,191 | \$ | 4,722,190 | \$ | 100,000 | Senate provides additional funding from General Revenue for visiting judges serving in district courts. |

| | <u>House</u> | | | | <u>Senate</u> | | | Fundamentan |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|---|
| Agency/Item | | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| B.1.6, Felony Prosecutors: Expenses | \$ | 3,974,303 | \$ 3,974,303 | \$ 3,352,500 | \$ | 3,352,500 | \$ 1,243,606 | House provides office expenses for felony prosecutors (157) and the State Prosecuting Attorney at the statutory level of \$22,500 per office for felony prosecutors serving districts with populations of more than 50,000; \$27,500 for felony prosecutors serving jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000; and \$11,083 for the Harris County District Attorney. |
| | | | | | | | | Housing funding (\$419,303 per fiscal year from General Revenue) is contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| | | | | | | | | House Technical Correction: A reduction of \$720 per fiscal year from General Revenue to fund the office apportionment for the Harris County District Attorney at \$11,083 per fiscal year rather than \$11,803 per fiscal year, in keeping with the 35 percent reduction from \$34,450 to \$22,500 for other prosecutors serving districts with populations of more than 50,000. Senate provides office expenses for professional prosecutors (148) and the State |
| | | | | anialatina Budant B | | | | professional prosecutors (148) and the State Prosecuting Attorney at the statutory level of \$22,500 per office for prosecutors in the Professional Prosecutors Act from General Revenue. See also Article XI, XI-3. |

22 of 28

| | <u>Ho</u> | use | <u>Sei</u> | <u>nate</u> | Biennial | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| D.1.1, Assistant Prosecutor Longevity Pay | \$ 3,759,000 | \$ 3,759,000 | \$ 3,759,000 | \$ 3,759,000 | \$ - | House provides estimated appropriation authority from the Assistant Prosecutor Supplement Fund No. 303 (Other Funds) for longevity pay to assistant prosecutors. |
| | | | | | | Senate provides a sum certain appropriation. |
| D.1.4, Public Integrity Unit, Travis County | \$ 3,368,684 | \$ 3,368,684 | \$ 3,368,684 | \$ 3,523,349 | \$ 154,665 | Senate provides an additional \$86,041 from General Revenue and \$68,624 from the self-leveling General Revenue – Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees in fiscal year 2013 to retain one key staff position in both the General State Division and the Insurance Fraud Division. |
| D.1.7, National Center for State Courts | \$ 388,030 | \$ - | \$ 388,030 | \$ 388,030 | \$ 388,030 | House provides \$388,030 from General Revenue in fiscal year 2012 to fund the state judiciary's subscription to the National Center for State Courts. |
| | | | | | | Senate provides \$388,030 from General Revenue in fiscal year 2012 and \$388,030 from the Judicial Fund No. 573 (Other Funds) in fiscal year 2013 to fund the state judiciary's subscription to the National Center for State Courts. |

| | | ouse | | nate | Biennial | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| D.1.9, Sex Offender Treatment and Supervision | \$ 3,945,884 | \$ 3,945,884 | \$ 4,037,686 | \$ 4,766,511 | \$ 912,429 | House provides an increase of \$1 million (including 3 FTEs) contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| | | | | | | Note: House does not include Interagency Contract amounts, FTEs, or performance measures for this purpose at the Department of State Health Services, which receives these funds in the current biennium. |
| | | | | | | Senate provides an increase of \$1,912,429 from General Revenue, including 2 FTEs in fiscal year 2012 and 6 FTEs in fiscal year 2013 to treat and monitor an estimated 139 sex offenders in 2012 and 164 sex offenders in 2013 under program supervision. |
| D.1.11, Montgomery County 435th District Court Staff | \$ 218,722 | \$ 218,722 | \$ 218,722 | \$ 218,722 | \$ - | House provides funding contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| | | | | | | Senate provides funding from General Revenue. |

| | | use | | nate | Biennial | |
|---|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Public Integrity Unit: Appropriation Source, Unexpended Balances, and Performance Reporting | IV-34, Rider #3 Rider Packet, page IV-7 | | IV-33, Rider #3 Rider Packet, page IV-7 | | | Senate provides an additional \$86,041 from General Revenue and \$68,624 from the self-leveling General Revenue – Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees in fiscal year 2013 to retain one key staff position in both the General State Division and the Insurance Fraud Division. |
| Felony Prosecutors: Expenses | | Rider #6 et, page IV-8 | · · | ! Rider #6 et, page IV-8 | | House provides office expenses for felony prosecutors (157) and the State Prosecuting Attorney at the statutory level of \$22,500 per office for felony prosecutors serving districts with populations of more than 50,000; \$27,500 for felony prosecutors serving jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000; and \$11,083 for the Harris County District Attorney. |
| | | | | | | House Technical Correction: A text change to reduce the office apportionment for the Harris County District Attorney from \$11,803 to \$11,083 per fiscal year, in keeping with the 35 percent reduction from \$34,450 to \$22,500 for other prosecutors serving districts with populations of more than 50,000. |
| | | | | | | Senate provides office expenses for professional prosecutors (148) and the State Prosecuting Attorney at the statutory level of \$22,500 per office for prosecutors in the Professional Prosecutors Act from General Revenue. |

| | <u>House</u> | | <u>Senate</u> | | Biennial | |
|--|---|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| Interagency Contract for Sex Offender Treatment and Supervision | IV-36, Rider #8 Rider Packet, page IV-9 | | | Rider #8 et, page IV-9 | | House provides an increase of \$1 million (including 3 FTEs) contingent on the implementation of a Tax Amnesty Program by the Comptroller of Public Accounts (see House, Special Provisions – Judiciary, Sec. 11, IV-38). |
| | | | | | | Senate provides an increase of \$1,912,429 from General Revenue, including 2 FTEs in fiscal year 2012 and 6 FTEs in fiscal year 2013 to treat and monitor an estimated 139 sex offenders in 2012 and 164 sex offenders in 2013 under program supervision. |
| | | | See also Article XI, page XI-3 | | | |

ISSUE DOCKET

| | <u>House</u> | | <u>Senate</u> | | Biennial | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| ARTICLE IV, SPECIAL PROVISIONS | | | | | | |
| Tax Amnesty Program | • | Sec.11 t, page IV-10 | | | | House includes provision funding multiple items in the Judiciary with General Revenue receipts generated by a tax amnesty program, including: a. Supreme Court - \$92,500 for court security in fiscal year 2012; b. OCA - \$469,817 each fiscal year for Information Technology case management, disaster recovery and equipment replacement, and \$135,000 each fiscal year for the Court Collection Improvement Program (includes 8.4 FTEs in total); c. State Law Library - \$933,502 each fiscal year to continue state law library operations (includes 13 FTEs); |

| | House | | <u>Senate</u> | | Biennial | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------|------------|--|
| Agency/Item | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | Difference | Explanation |
| | | | | | | d. Comptroller's Judiciary Section - \$419,303 each fiscal year for Felony Prosecutor office apportionments, \$500,000 each fiscal year to contract with the Council on Sex Offender Treatment for supervision and monitoring offenders in program supervision (3.0 FTEs), and \$218,722 each fiscal year for staff at the 435th District Court located in Montgomery County. |
| | | | | | | Funding for these items is included in court/agency bill patterns. |
| Contingency Appropriation for Senate Bill 726 | IV-39, Rider Packe | Sec.12 t, page IV-11 | | | | House includes provision funding grants for indigent defense and basic civil legal services contingent on the passage of Senate Bill 726 and subject to revenue created by this legislation generating sufficient receipts to cover the appropriations: a. OCA - \$4,307,033 each fiscal year out of General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073 for indigent defense grants to counties and grant administration (1.0 FTE); and b. Supreme Court - \$10.0 million each fiscal year out of Judical Fund No. 573 for basic civil legal services. Funding for these items is included in court/agency bill patterns. |
| | See also Articl | e XI, page XI-5 | | | | |
| | • | : | egislative Budget Bo | enard | • | 1 |