#### Senate Finance Committee Senator Whitmire, Chair

	o	utst	anding Items f	or Conside	ration				Ter	ntative Workg	rour	o Decisions	
Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Inc					ltems		Prio				Prior	ity 2
Total, Article IV The Judiciary	<u>2014-15 Bie</u>					ennial Tot		<u>2014-15 Bie</u>				<u>2014-15 Bie</u>	
	GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds	GR & G Dedicat		All Fu	unds	GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds		GR & GR- Dedicated	All Funds
Supreme Court of Texas													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 5,137,726	\$	5,137,726	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,718,726	\$	4,718,726	\$	130,000	\$ 130,000
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	 1.0	•	1.0	•	0.0	•	0.0	1.0	Ţ	1.0		0.0	0.0
Court of Criminal Appeals	 												
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 6,685,068	\$	6,685,068	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,271,462	\$	1,556,462	\$	474,132	\$ 474,132
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	2.0		2.0		0.0		0.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	1.(
14 Appellate Courts													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 13,087,337	\$	13,087,337	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 12,836,159	\$	12,836,159	\$	251,178	\$ 251,178
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	34.1		34.1		0.0		0.0	34.1		34.1		0.0	0.0
Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 159,903,986	\$	160,939,706	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 21,656,276	\$	21,894,736	\$	136,037,779	\$ 136,037,779
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	24.0		24.0		0.0		0.0	22.0		22.0		4.0	4.0
Office of Capital Writs													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 296,675	\$	296,675	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 296,675	\$	296,675	\$	-	\$
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	2.0		4.0		0.0		0.0	2.0		4.0		0.0	0.
Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0
State Law Library													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 326,248	\$	326,248	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 326,248	\$	326,248	\$	-	\$
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.5		1.5		0.0		0.0	1.5		1.5		0.0	0.0
State Commission on Judicial Conduct													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 270,622	\$	270,622	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 160,000	\$	160,000	\$	-	\$
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.0		1.0		0.0		0.0	1.0		1.0		0.0	0.0

		Ο	utst	anding Items f	or	Consideration					Те	ntative Workg	Irou	p Decisions		
Article IV, The Judiciary Total, Article IV The Judiciary		Items Not Inc 2014-15 Bie	lude	ed in SB 1		Pendeo 2014-15 Bie	d Ite			Prio 2014-15 Bie	rity	1		Prior 2014-15 Bie		
		GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds		GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds		GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds		GR & GR- Dedicated		All Funds
Indicion Comptrellarle Department																
Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	1,937,585	\$	2,009,418	\$		\$		\$	1,187,585	\$	1,259,418	\$	750,000	\$	750,000
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0
Special Provisions																
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	187,645,247	\$	188,752,800	<u>\$</u>	-	\$		\$	42,453,131	\$	43,048,424	\$	137,643,089	\$	137,643,089
COST-OUT ADJUSTMENTS																
<ol> <li>Office of Court Administration: GEER recommendation to increase training efforts on court costs and fees for local court personnel. (Contingent on legislation)</li> </ol>	\$	(504,310)	\$	(504,310)									\$	(504,310)	\$	(504,310
2. Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department: The recommended increase of \$173,033 from the self-leveling Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees (General Revenue Account No. 8042) for the Public Integrity Unit's Insurance Fraud Division is not a cost to the bill.									\$	(173,033)	\$	(173,033)				
Technical Adjustments:																
Agency Requests:																
1. Office of Court Administration: Guardianship Examination Fees	\$	(15,000)	\$	(15,000)					\$	(15,000)	\$	(15,000)				
Total Cost-out Adjustments	\$	(519,310)	\$	(519,310)					\$	(188,033)	\$	(188,033)	\$	(504,310)	\$	(504,310

#### Senate Finance Committee Senator Whitmire, Chair

	0	Outstanding Items	for Consideratior	1		Tentative Workg	roup Decisions	
Article IV, The Judiciary Total, Article IV The Judiciary		cluded in SB 1 ennial Total		d Items ennial Total	Prio <u>2014-15 Bie</u>	•		ity 2 ennial Total
	GR & GR- Dedicated	All Funds	GR & GR- Dedicated	All Funds	GR & GR- Dedicated	All Funds	GR & GR- Dedicated	All Funds
Total GR & GR-Ded Adopted Items less Cost-out Adjust	\$ 187,125,937	\$ 188,233,490	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,265,098	\$ 42,860,391	\$ 137,138,779	\$ 137,138,779
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	65.6					64.6		

LBB Analyst: Zelma Smith

			Outs	standing Items for	Consideration		I I	entative Work	group Decision	S
Su	icle IV, The Judiciary preme Court of Texas ceptional Items		Items Not Incl 2014-15 Bie GR & GR-	nnial Total		d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total		rity 2 ennial Total
			Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Те	chnical Adjustments:									
	Rider 6, Texas Young Lawyers License Plate Receipts									
	Comptroller's Biennial Revenue Estimate (BRE) for 2014-	\$	-	\$-						
	15 estimates receipts of \$16,000 per fiscal year; Senate	-								
	Bill 1 appropriates an estimated \$20,000 per fiscal year.						Prio	rity 1		
	(Text change only)									
Pe	formance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:									
	None.									
Ag	ency Requests:									
1.	Staff Attorney Pay Increases	\$	198,000	\$ 198,000						
a.	Provide funding for across the board salary increases for 13 Attorney V positions. Annual salary for the positions would increase from \$93,232 to \$100,232 (annual increase of \$91,000; biennial increase of \$182,000).									
b.	Provide funding to increase the annual salary for the General Counsel IV from \$107,100 to \$111,000 (annual increase of \$4,000; biennial increase of \$8,000).									
C.	Provide funding to increase the annual salary for the Clerk of the Court from \$107,100 to \$111,000 (annual increase of \$4,000; biennial increase of \$8,000).									
2.	Non-legal Staff Pay Increases	\$	91,000	\$ 91,000						

LBB Analyst: Zelma Smith

**Tentative Workgroup Decisions Outstanding Items for Consideration** Article IV, The Judiciary Priority 2 Items Not Included in SB 1 Pended Items Priority 1 Supreme Court of Texas 2014-15 Biennial Total 2014-15 Biennial Total 2014-15 Biennial Total 2014-15 Biennial Total Exceptional Items GR & GR-GR & GR-GR & GR-GR & GR-Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Provide funding for across the board salary increases for non-legal staff positions (deputy court clerk) to levels comparable with other appellate court clerks. 3. Support for the Supreme Court Rules Function \$ 120.000 \$ 120.000 \$ 120.000 \$ 120.000 A Legal Assistant (1 FTE) to assist Rules Attorney with Court's rule-making authority (\$60,000 per fiscal year). 4. General Revenue for Basic Civil Legal Services \$ \$ 4,598,726 \$ 4,598,726 4.598.726 \$ 4.598.726 Reverse recommended General Revenue reduction related to additional Judicial Fund No. 573 receipts. 5. Funding for Supreme Court Committee Travel \$ 80.000 \$ 80.000 \$ 80.000 \$ 80.000 6. Court Reporter for the Supreme Court Advisory 50,000 \$ \$ 50.000 \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 Committee Contract to transcribe 6-8 Supreme Court Advisory Committee Meetings per year (\$25,000 per fiscal year). Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions: 5,137,726 \$ \$ 4,718,726 \$ 4,718,726 \$ Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions \$ 5,137,726 \$ \$ 130,000 \$ 130,000 -FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2015 FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2015 Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0

Working Paper--Prepared by Legislative Budget Board Staff

		Out	stand	ing Items for	Consideration	I		Т	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	G	ems Not Incl 2014-15 Bie R & GR- edicated	nnia	_		d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	GF		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
Technical Adjustments:											
None.											
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:											
None.											
Agency Requests:											
1. Enhance Appellate Court Operations (includes 2 FTEs)	\$	1,292,846	\$	1,292,846							
<ul> <li>(a) Provide funding to increase the annual salary 1) for General Counsel from \$95,000 to \$107,000; 2) for 9 Staff Attorneys assigned to each of the court's nine judges (from a range of \$70,000 and \$84,000 to \$93,231), and 3) for 15 Central Staff Attorneys (from a range of \$70,000 and \$91,933 to a range of \$93,231 to \$98,000). The cost per fiscal year is \$429,634 and the biennial cost is \$859,268.</li> </ul>											
(b) Provide funding to increase the annual salaries for 9 law clerks from \$48,000 to \$50,000 annually (\$18,000 per fiscal year; \$36,000 for the biennium).											
<ul> <li>(c) Provide funding for across the board salary increases for 23 non-legal staff positions, including for the Clerk of the Court (from \$81,529 to \$85,323). According to the court, the proposal would bring non-attorney salaries more in line with non-attorney salaries at the Supreme Court (\$85,573 per fiscal year; \$171,146 for the biennium).</li> </ul>											
(d) Restore a staff attorney position (1 FTE; \$93,231 per fiscal year).							\$	186,462	\$ 186,462		

		Outs	stanc	ling Items for	Consideration		Т	entative Work	grou	p Decisior	าร	
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	0	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	nnia	_		d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	G	Prio 2014-15 Bi R & GR- edicated		
(e) Custodian position (1 FTE, \$20,000 per fiscal year).									\$	40,000	\$	40,000
<ul> <li>2. Additional Funds for Judicial Education</li> <li>* The Comptroller's BRE projects total available revenue in 2014-15 of \$18.5 million in Fund 540. SB 1 provides \$16.7 million for judicial education. This leaves \$1.8 million in funds available for additional appropriations in 2014-15, an amount sufficient to fund this exceptional item request.</li> <li>(a) Increase of \$150,000 per fiscal year for Rider 8, Actual Innocence Training;</li> </ul>	\$	1,370,000	\$	1,370,000			\$ 1,085,000	\$ 1,370,000				
<ul> <li>(b) Increase of \$200,000 per fiscal year additional in administrative allocation (i.e. in addition to 3% allocation) for Rider 2b;</li> <li>(c) Increase of \$50,000 per fiscal year between fiscal years and between biennia in unexpended balance (currently set at \$100,000) authority for Rider 9, Unexpended Balance Authority Between Biennia and Within the Biennium; and</li> <li>(d) Increase of \$285,000 per fiscal year for unexpended balance authority for Rider 6, Refunds of Unexpended Balance s from Training Authorities.</li> </ul>												

Uut	standing Items fo	r Consideration			entative Work	group Decision	S
					•		rity 2 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds
y							
t							
	Items Not Inc <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-	Items Not Included in SB 1         2014-15 Biennial Total         GR & GR-         Dedicated         All Funds	Items Not Included in SB 1     Pender       2014-15 Biennial Total     2014-15 Bie       GR & GR-     GR & GR-       Dedicated     All Funds	Items Not Included in SB 1     Pended Items       2014-15 Biennial Total     2014-15 Biennial Total       GR & GR-     Dedicated       Dedicated     All Funds	Items Not Included in SB 1     Pended Items     Prior       2014-15 Biennial Total     2014-15 Biennial Total     2014-15 Biennial Total       GR & GR-     Dedicated     All Funds     Dedicated       y	Items Not Included in SB 1       Pended Items       Priority 1         2014-15 Biennial Total       2014-15 Biennial Total       2014-15 Biennial Total       GR & GR-         GR & GR-       Dedicated       All Funds       Dedicated       All Funds         y	Items Not Included in SB 1     Pended Items     Priority 1     Priority 1       2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated     2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated     All Funds     2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated     All Funds

	Outs	standing Items for	Consideration		Т	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated			d Items ennial Total All Funds	Prio <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	Prio <u>2014-15 Bio</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:	\$ 6,685,068	\$ 6,685,068	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,271,462	\$ 1,556,462	\$ 474,132	\$ 474,132
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	<b>FY 2014</b> 2.0	<b>FY 2015</b> 2.0	<b>FY 2014</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2015</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2014</b> 1.0	<b>FY 2015</b>	<b>FY 2014</b>	<b>FY 2015</b>

Decision Document		Outs	stan	ding Items for	Consideration			T	ent	ative Workç	grou	p Decision	S	
Article IV, The Judiciary 14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items		Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-				d Items ennial Total		Prio <u>2014-15 Bio</u> 3R & GR-	-			Prio 2014-15 Bie R & GR-	-	
	[	Dedicated		All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds		Dedicated	A	All Funds	D	edicated	AI	l Funds
Technical Adjustments:														
None.														
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations														
None.														
Agency Requests:														
First Court of Appeals, Houston	\$	1,480,479	\$	1,480,479			\$	1,480,479	\$	1,480,479				
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 6.0 FTEs)														
Second Court of Appeals, Fort Worth	\$	1,519,734	\$	1,519,734			\$	1,268,556	\$	1,268,556	\$	251,178	\$	251,178
(a) Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs) - \$1,268,556														
(b) Technology Request (technology upgrades, including telephone system, scanners) - \$251,178														
Third Court of Appeals, Austin	\$	859,150	\$	859,150			\$	859,150	\$	859,150				
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTE)														
Fourth Court of Appeals, San Antonio	\$	1,184,842	\$	1,184,842			\$	1,184,842	\$	1,184,842				
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 4.0 FTEs)														
Fifth Court of Appeals, Dallas	\$	2,026,722	\$	2,026,722			\$	2,026,722	\$	2,026,722				
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 5.1 FTEs)														
Sixth Court of Appeals, Texarkana	\$	488,325	\$	488,325			\$	488,325	\$	488,325				
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 0 FTE)							1							

		Outs	standing	g Items for	Consideration	I		Т	entativ	ve Workg	group Decisior	IS
Article IV, The Judiciary 14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items	0	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	ennial To			d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	G	Prior 2014-15 Bie R & GR- edicated	ennial	<u>Total</u> Funds		rity 2 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds
Seventh Court of Appeals, Amarillo Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)	\$	636,698	\$	636,698			\$	636,698	\$	636,698		
Eighth Court of Appeals, El Paso Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)	\$	487,314	\$	487,314			\$	487,314	\$	487,314		
Ninth Court of Appeals, Beaumont Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTEs)	\$	646,104	\$	646,104			\$	646,104	\$	646,104		
Tenth Court of Appeals, WacoSimilar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTEand \$403,282 for building/court relocation)	\$	523,282	\$	523,282			\$	523,282	\$	523,282		
Eleventh Court of Appeals, Eastland Similar Funding - Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTE) *Updated post workgroup meeting to reflect correct amount of 2 FTE, instead of 1 as initially presented.	\$	496,383	\$	496,383			\$	496,383	\$ 4	496,383		
Twelfth Court of Appeals, Tyler         Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)	\$	442,152	\$	442,152			\$	442,152	\$ 4	442,152		
Thirteenth Court of Appeals, Corpus Christi Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 3.0 FTEs)	\$	844,238	\$	844,238			\$	844,238	\$	844,238		
Fourteenth Court of Appeals, Houston Similar Funding Same-Sized Courts (includes 3.0 FTEs)	\$	1,451,914	\$	1,451,914			\$	1,451,914	\$ 1,·	451,914		

	Out	standing Items for	<sup>-</sup> Consideration	1	1	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Inc			d Items		rity 1		rity 2
14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items	<u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-	ennial Total	<u>2014-15 Bi</u> GR & GR-	<u>ennial Total</u>	<u>2014-15 Bi</u> GR & GR-	<u>ennial Total</u>	<u>2014-15 Bi</u> GR & GR-	ennial Total
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:								
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 13,087,337	\$ 13,087,337	\$-	\$-	\$ 12,836,159	\$ 12,836,159	\$ 251,178	\$ 251,178
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	34.1	34.1	0.0	0.0	34.1	34.1	0.0	0.0

# Courts of Appeals Similar Funding for Same-Size Courts Block Grant Allocation of Block Grant Funds to Categories Recommended Funding for 2014-15 Biennium

		R	eclassify			N	on-Attorney	Attorney	Ν	on-Attorney	Operating		Restored		
COA	Justices	La	w Clerks	Att	orney Staff		Staff	Salaries		Salaries	Costs	Total	FTEs	New FTEs	Total FTEs
1	9	\$	78,000	\$	180,000	\$	450,000	\$ 429,872	\$	261,726	\$ 80,881	\$ 1,480,479	5.0	1.0	6.0
2	7	\$	76,760	\$	177,200	\$	84,460	\$ 346,278	\$	245,984	\$ 337,874	\$ 1,268,556	2.0		2.0
3	6	\$	-	\$	177,200	\$	-	\$ 546,000	\$	92,788	\$ 43,162	\$ 859,150	1.0		1.0
4	7	\$	304,800	\$	-	\$	346,080	\$ 83,696	\$	124,002	\$ 326,264	\$ 1,184,842		4.0	4.0
5	13	\$	-	\$	445,000	\$	94,276	\$ 973,895	\$	340,691	\$ 172,860	\$ 2,026,722	5.1		5.1
6	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 201,000	\$	137,325	\$ 150,000	\$ 488,325	-		-
7	4	\$	-	\$	195,000	\$	69,800	\$ 257,600	\$	36,758	\$ 77,540	\$ 636,698	1.0	1.0	2.0
8	3	\$	-	\$	160,000	\$	66,565	\$ 182,000	\$	42,435	\$ 36,314	\$ 487,314	1.0	1.0*	2.0
9	4	\$	-	\$	-	\$	80,000	\$ 322,600	\$	124,824	\$ 118,680	\$ 646,104	1.0		1.0
10	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$	60,000	\$ 30,000	\$	30,000	\$ 403,282	\$ 523,282		1.0	1.0
11	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,000	\$ 150,000	\$	160,000	\$ 136,383	\$ 496,383	1.0	1.0	2.0
12	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$	170,980	\$ 160,700	\$	49,042	\$ 61,430	\$ 442,152	1.0	1.0	2.0
13	6	\$	-	\$	177,200	\$	168,920	\$ 392,532	\$	61,594	\$ 43,992	\$ 844,238	1.5	1.5	3.0
14	9	\$	226,600	\$	354,400	\$	66,607	\$ 278,508	\$	125,799	\$ 400,000	\$ 1,451,914	3.0	*	3.0
	-	\$	686,160	\$	1,866,000	\$	1,707,688	\$ 4,354,681	\$	1,832,968	\$ 2,388,662	\$ 12,836,159	22.6	11.5	34.1

\* FTE numbers have been updated from LAR submission

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Οι	itstanding Items for	r Consideratio	n		Tentative W	orkgroup Decisior	S
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 <u>iennial Total</u> All Funds		d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
Technical Adjustments: None								
<ul> <li>Performance Review &amp; Other Budget Recommendations:         <ol> <li>Increase appropriations and include a rider that allocates these funds for four FTEs to develop and provide education to local court personnel on court costs and fees. Revenue from the consolidated court cost would offset the cost of the FTEs. (Revenue gain is contingent on legislation).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	\$ 504,310	0 \$ 504,310					\$ 504,310	\$ 504,310
Agency Requests - Office of Court Administration: 1. Technology Support for State Courts and Judicial Agencies (includes 2 FTEs)	\$ 2,212,17	\$ 2,212,171			\$ 2,212,171	\$ 2,212,171		
(a) Includes funds to replace 60% of the computers and related hardware for the appellate courts and judicial agencies (\$1,766,835 in fiscal year 2014 only).								
<ul> <li>(b) Funding for 2 FTE programmers. Agency had a loss of 3.4 FTEs in the 2012-13 biennium, and as a result, only has 2 programmers to support multiple software systems - (\$322,336).</li> </ul>								

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

		Out	standiı	ng Items for	Consideration	1			Tentative Wo	orkgroup Decision	S	
ticle IV, The Judiciary fice of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council ceptional Items		Items Not Inc <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	ennial <sup>-</sup>		Pended Items <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			Prior 2014-15 Bie R & GR- edicated	rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	Priority 2 <u>2014-15 Biennial Tota</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Fur		
<ul> <li>(c) Funding for the 5th Court of Appeals (Dallas) to offset the cost of its on-site network technician. The use of an on-site technician reduces the workload for OCA network technicians and expedites resolution of network issues - (\$123,000).</li> </ul>												
<ul> <li>2. Court Administration (includes 4 FTEs)</li> <li>(a) Judicial Information: Includes funding for a communications director and a research specialist. Because the OCA is the central repository for information on the Judicial Branch, providing information quickly and on demand is dependant on staffing. (2 FTEs, \$290,700 for the biennium)</li> </ul>	\$	527,660	\$	527,660			\$	527,660	\$ 527,660			
<ul> <li>(b) Court Services: Includes funding for a court coordinator. Because OCA is mandated to consult with and assist courts, delivery of consulting services to the courts is difficult without adequate staff. This position has been held vacant because of recent budget cuts. (1 FTE, \$142,850 for the biennium)</li> </ul>	5											
<ul> <li>(c) Administrative Support Staff: Funding request for administrative support staff. Because of additional workload imposed on OCA, including 5 new function since 2005, staff is needed. (1 FTE, \$94,110 for the biennium)</li> </ul>	s											

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	0	utstanding	Items for	Consideration	1		Tentative Wo	rkgroup Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items	Items Not I <u>2014-15</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	Biennial Tot			d Items ennial Total All Funds	Prior <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	•	Prior <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
3. Coordination of Language Access in Courts (includes 3 FTEs) - Funding request to establish a language access program at OCA to provide direct interpreter assistance to the courts in Texas. Funding request includes:	\$ 389,39	5 \$	389,395			\$ 389,395	\$ 389,395		
<ul> <li>(a) Request for funds to employ Spanish interpreters who may assist the courts (mostly rural) via the internet or telephone (2 FTEs);</li> </ul>									
(b) Employ one language access coordinator (1 FTE); and									
(c) Appropriation of \$25,000 per fiscal year for interpreter services in languages not provided by OCA.									
<ul> <li>4. Certification Division (includes 3 FTEs) - Realign 3 regulatory boards (Court Reporters Certification Board, Process Server Board, and Guardianship Certification Board) into a single division.</li> </ul>	\$ 412,79	8 \$	412,798			\$ 412,798	\$ 412,798		
<ul> <li>(a) Funding for a division director (1 FTE), an executive assistant (1 FTE) and additional administrative support (1 FTE). (\$170,568 per fiscal year for salaries; \$49,956 in fiscal year 2014 for one-time office set up costs.)</li> </ul>									
(b) Request includes travel funds for the Process Server Review Board; members are not compensated, however, travel reimbursement has been granted. (\$20,000 over the biennium.)									
5. Specialty Courts (includes 8 FTEs)	\$ 1,180,15	4 \$ 1	,517,412			\$ 1,074,310	\$ 1,312,770		

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Ou	tstanding Items fo	r Consideration	ı		Tentative We	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 iennial Total All Funds		d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		rity 1 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	Priority 2 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Fund				
<ul> <li>(a) One additional child support court in El Paso, due to growing caseload. OCA employs 43 associated judges to hear and dispose of Title IV-D child support cases. (2 FTEs; \$307,556 over biennium)</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>(b) Three new child protection courts due to growing caseload. OCA has 17 child protection courts serving 120 counties. In FY 2011, held over 27,000 hearings.</li> <li>(6 FTEs; \$951,464 over biennium)</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>(c) Provide funding for salary increases for court coordinators in the child support and child protection courts. The funding would add a fourth step of \$42,514 to the coordinator salary schedule, which currently ranges from \$37,125 to \$40,683. Total cost would be \$105,844 from General Revenue and \$204,642 over the biennium in All Funds (including IAC from federal funds).</li> </ul>											
(d) Professional development for child support court coordinators. (\$53,750 for fiscal year 2014)											
<ul> <li>6. Docket Equalization</li> <li>Funding supports the Supreme Court's transfer of cases from one court of appeals to another; covers traveling from one location to another.</li> </ul>	\$ 20,174	\$ 20,174			\$ 20,174	\$ 20,174					
7. Unexpended Balance Authority within the biennium, Strategy C.1.3, Guardians and Process Servers	\$-	\$-			Prio	rity 1					

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Out	stan	ding Items for	Consideration	I		Tentative Wo	tive Workgroup Decisions			
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc <u>2014-15 Bi</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	ennia	-	Pendee <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	Priority 2 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Func			
OCA requests UB Authority within the biennium for Strategy C.1.3. All other OCA appropriations, except for Texas.gov, have UB Authority.											
8. Amend Rider 3, Information Services for the Trial Courts	\$ -	\$	-			Prio	rity 1				
OCA requests deletion of the set aside for trial court IT services (\$1,173,931) in Rider 3. In the alternative, if a dollar amount must be stated, OCA requests that they calculate the set aside.											
9. Rider Request- Guardianship Examination Fees	\$ 15,000	\$	15,000			\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000				
OCA requests the addition of a new rider, Guardianship Examination Fees, which would appropriate examination fees to the agency to offset the cost of developing and administering the certification exam. (New / additional revenue)											
10. Specialty Courts- Salary Increases for Associate Judges	\$ 642,324	\$	1,340,786								
Agency requests addition of a 4th tier to the salary schedule established by the Presiding Judges of the Administrative Judicial Regions for Associate Judges assigned to the child protection and child support courts. Currently the maximum salary for a judge is \$100,194 per fiscal year. The new maximum salary would be \$112,500 (Action would effect 55 judges).											
Agency Requests - Texas Indigent Defense Commission											
1. Indigent Defense - Restore Funding	\$ 18,466,531	\$	18,466,531			\$ 16,708,288	\$ 16,708,288				

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Out	standing Items for	· Consideration	ו		Tentative W	orkgroup Decision	S	
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 ennial Total		d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR-		
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	
Texas Indigent Defense Commission requests restoration of estimated appropriation and unexpended balance authority that was eliminated during the Eighty-second Legislative Session, 2011. Agency currently has sum certain appropriation in the 2012-13 biennium, and the removal of estimated appropriation and unexpended balance authority resulted in funds in the General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073 that cannot be used for dedicated statutory purposes. *Estimated unexpended balance available after funding Office of Capital Writs items is \$16,708,288.									
<ul> <li>2. Indigent Defense - Provide Full Funding to Counties</li> <li>TIDC through the administration of the grant programs fund approximately 15 percent of the cost of indigent defense, with counties covering about 85 percent. Funding of this exceptional item would help defray the costs borne by the counties for indigent defense representation. (Agency proposes that funding come from General Revenue.)</li> </ul>	\$ 135,533,469	\$ 135,533,469					\$ 135,533,469	\$ 135,533,46	
3. Rider 8, Texas Indigent Defense Commission	\$-	\$ -			Prio	rity 1			

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Ou	tstanding Items for	· Consideratior	n	Tentative Workgroup Decisions					
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds	Prio <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Tota GR & GR- Dedicated All Fu			
TIDC requested revision includes adding estimated appropriation and unexpended balance authority for Fair Defense Account No. 5073 as well as consolidating TIDC administrative costs for indigent defense and innocence project grants in single rider. The administrative costs associated with Innocence Project grants to four state law schools (\$80,000 per year and 1 FTE) is included in Rider 11, Innocence Projects in Senate Bill 1.										
<ul> <li>4. Rider 11, Innocence Projects TIDC rider revision request would move language regarding administrative costs to Rider 8; consolidating all TIDC administrative costs into one rider. <ul> <li>* Rider updated to reflect workgroup decision to amend amounts allocated to Innocence Projects from \$320,000 per fiscal year (\$80,000 per law school per year) to \$600,000 per fiscal year (\$150,000 per law school per year). Of this amount, \$100,000 is for contracts with the law schools and \$50,000 is for part-time case coordinators employed by the law schools. </li> </ul></li></ul>	\$ -	\$ -			Prio	rity 1				
Norkgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:					\$ 296 480	¢ 000 400				
1. Child Protection Court- Harris County (includes 2 FTEs)Create a Child Protection Court in Harris County:					\$ 296,480	\$ 296,480				
<ul> <li>(a) Associate Judge (\$94,296 per fiscal year) and Court Coordinator (\$39,456 per fiscal year) positions for biennial cost of \$267,504;</li> </ul>										

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick Decision Document

	Out	standing Items for	r Consideration	1		Tentative Wo	orkgroup Decision	S	
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-	luded in SB 1 ennial Total		d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total	Priority 2 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR-		
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	
(b) Travel (\$1,500 per fiscal year) biennial cost of \$3,000; and									
(c) Other Operating Costs, including office equipment in fiscal year 2014, biennial cost of \$25,976.									
2. Study of Department of Public Safety Sting Operations					\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000			
Funds for the Office of Court Administration to prepare a study of the financial impact on local governments in communities located near the state's major urban centers of sting operations conducted by the Department of Public Safety involving drug and human trafficking. In addition, the study would identify recipients of forfeiture funds and the purposes for which these funds are spent.									
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 159,903,986	\$ 160,939,706	\$-	\$-	\$ 21,656,276	\$ 21,894,736	\$ 136,037,779	\$ 136,037,77	
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	24.0	24.0			22.0	22.0	4.0	4.0	

Decision Document	Out	standing Items for	Consideratior	1	Т	entative Work	group Decision	IS
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Capital Writs Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated			d Items <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		rity 1 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		rity 2 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds
Technical Adjustments:								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations: None.								
Agency Requests:1.Restore funding to OCW to the level appropriated by the Legislature in fiscal year 2011 and increase FTE positions (4 FTEs)	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675			\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675		
(a) \$200,000 from General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account, to provide funding for 2 FTEs in both fiscal years of the biennium (one attorney and one investigator).								
<ul> <li>(b) \$96,675 from General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account, to provide funding for 2 additional FTEs in fiscal year 2015 (one attorney and one legal support staff member) only.</li> </ul>								
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:								
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675	\$-	\$-	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675	\$-	\$-
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	2.0	4.0			2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0

	Out	standing Items for	Consideration	1	Т	entative Work	group Decision	S	
Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Incl	uded in SB 1	Pende	d Items	Prio	rity 1	Prio	rity 2	
Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney	<u>2014-15 Bie</u>	nnial Total	<u>2014-15 Bie</u>	<u>ennial Total</u>	<u>2014-15 Bie</u>	ennial Total	2014-15 Biennial Total		
Exceptional Items	GR & GR-		GR & GR-		GR & GR-		GR & GR-		
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	
Technical Adjustments:									
None.									
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:									
None.									
Agency Requests:									
None.									
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:									
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
		-	-		-				
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

		Outs	stan	ding Items for	Consideration	I	Tentative Workgroup Decisions						
Article IV, The Judiciary State Law Library Exceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds					d Items ennial Total All Funds	Priority 1 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds					rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
Technical Adjustments: None. Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:													
None.													
<u>Agency Requests:</u> 1. One librarian (1 FTE, \$49,000 per fiscal year) and one part-time library assistant (0.5 FTE, \$14,124 per fiscal year)	\$	126,248	\$	126,248			\$	126,248	\$	126,248			
2. Books and Materials	\$	200,000	\$	200,000			\$	200,000	\$	200,000			
Increase funding for updates and supplements to standard reference materials. According to agency, legal periodical prices increase nearly 8% annually and baseline funding for 2014-15 would be inadequate to bring necessary research materials up-to-date.													
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:													
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	326,248	\$	326,248	\$-	\$-	\$	326,248	\$	326,248	\$-	\$	
		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	F	FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		1.5		1.5	0.0	0.0		1.5		1.5	0.0	0.	

	Out	standing Items for	Consideration	I	Т	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary State Commission on Judicial Conduct Exceptional Items		luded in SB 1 ennial Total		d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total		rity 2 ennial Total
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Technical Adjustments:								
None.								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:								
None.								
Agency Requests:								
1. Additional Staff Attorney (1 FTE)	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000			\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000		
One additional staff attorney (\$80,000 per fiscal year) for the purposes of not only lowering the time a case takes for resolution, but also reducing case backlog.								
2. Increase Attorney Salaries	\$ 110,622	\$ 110,622						
Provide funding for four staff attorneys to increase each attorney's salary to \$80,000 per year. The increases range from \$13,050 to \$17,500 per attorney, whose annual salary currently ranges from \$62,500 to \$66,950.								
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:								
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 270,622	\$ 270,622	\$-	\$-	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000	\$-	\$-
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

	Outs	standing Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions						
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated			d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds		
Technical Adjustments:1. Amend Rider 9, Appropriation Transfers to remove the requirement that the Judiciary Section provide advance notification of appropriation transfers. The Judiciary Section would continue to provide notification of transfers under the amendment.					Prior	ity 1				
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations: None.										
Agency Requests:1. Visiting Judges, RegionsThe presiding judges of the administrative judicial regions administer the visiting judge program for district courts. The judges are requesting a partial restoration of funds decreased from 2010-11 appropriated levels. The additional General Revenue funding would allow the presiding judges to make additional assignments, as needed, of visiting judges statewide when the active judge needs assistance with heavy dockets, illness, recusals, military service, and other unplanned reasons.	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000					\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000		

		Out	stanc	ling Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions							
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds								rity 1 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		rity 2 <u>ennial Total</u> All Funds		
2. Public Integrity Unit, Travis County	\$	681,392	\$	681,392			\$	681,392	\$ 681,392				
<ul> <li>(a) A total of \$548,187 from General Revenue (\$375,154) and the General Revenue-Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees account (\$173,033) to maintain 3 positions in 2014 and 4.5 positions in 2015 in the General State Investigations and Insurance Fraud Divisions under county-forecasted compensation and benefits increases;</li> </ul>													
<ul> <li>(b) In the event that Item 2(a) is not funded, a request of \$154,664 to maintain 1.5 positions in each division, based on an annualization of 2013 funded levels (\$86,040 from General Revenue and \$68,624 from the General Revenue-Insurance Companies Maintenance Tax and Insurance Department Fees account); and</li> </ul>													
(c) \$133,205 from General Revenue for a new part-time assistant district attorney in the General State Investigations Division to assist with an increasing caseload of state tax fraud cases. Fraud cases include sales and excise, motor vehicle sales and rentals, and cigarette and tobacco taxes.													

	Outstanding Items for Consideration						Tentative Workgroup Decisions						
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		<u>I Total</u>	Pended Items <u>2014-15 Biennial Total</u> GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			Prio 2014-15 Bio R & GR- edicated				rity 2 ennial Total All Funds		
3. Special Prosecution Unit, Walker County	\$	479,456	\$	551,289			\$	479,456	\$	551,289			
<ul> <li>(a) <u>Criminal Division</u> requests include: i) \$21,443 from Criminal Justice Grants (Other Funds) for county- forecasted increases in health insurance costs; ii) \$50,800 to provide insurance benefits for three employees upon retirement, which are provided to other county retirees until the retiree becomes eligible for Medicare; iii) \$105,148 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff; and, iv) \$80,000 from General Revenue to purchase five new vehicles to replace vehicles with mileage in excess of 140,000. <u>Alternatively</u>, with regard to the vehicle request, previous Legislatures have authorized the Department of Public Safety to transfer low-mileage vehicles to Walker County for the Criminal Division's use. The rider-authorized transfer could be made at no cost to the bill.</li> </ul>													
<ul> <li>(b) <u>Civil Division</u> requests include: i) \$16,330 from General Revenue for county-forecasted increases in health insurance costs; ii) \$128,000 to restore funding to provide two expert witnesses in a projected 17 complex cases out of 50 commitments initiated each fiscal year, as well as 3 anticipated retrials; and iii) \$93,530 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff.</li> </ul>													

Decision Document	Outstanding Items for Consideration Ter								entative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated		-		d Items ennial Total All Funds	Priori <u>2014-15 Bier</u> GR & GR- Dedicated					rity 2 ennial Total All Funds		
(c) <u>Juvenile Division</u> requests include: i) \$9,186 from General Revenue for county-forecasted increases in health insurance costs; and, ii) \$47,262 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff.													
<ul> <li>4. Montgomery County - 435th District Court.</li> <li>A request for funds from General Revenue for county- forecasted compensation and benefits increases, as well as increases in operating expenses.</li> </ul>	\$ 26,737	\$	26,737			\$	26,737	\$	26,737				
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:													
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 1,937,585	\$	2,009,418	\$-	\$-	\$	1,187,585	\$	1,259,418	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000		
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	<b>FY 2014</b> 0.0		<b>FY 2015</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2014</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2015</b> 0.0		<b>FY 2014</b> 0.0		<b>FY 2015</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2014</b> 0.0	<b>FY 2015</b>		

	Outs	standing Items for	Т	Fentative Workgroup Decisions					
Article IV, The Judiciary Special Provisions Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-			d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR-		
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	
Technical Adjustments:									
None. Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations: None.									
Agency Requests: None.									
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:									
<ol> <li>Sec. 7, Appellate Court Salary Limit: Update Section 7 to reflect \$97,500 for a chief staff attorney and \$88,600 for an attorney; which is consistent with salary increases provided by similar funding for same-size court block grant for the 14 courts of appeals.</li> </ol>					Prio	rity 1			
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	