Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Inc	anding Items f	for C	Consideration Pended	ms		Prin	Tenta	tive Workg	roup l	Decisions Prio	ity 2	
Total, Article IV The Judiciary	2014-15 Bi			2014-15 Bie	_		2014-15 Bid	-	Total		2014-15 Bie	•	otal
,	GR & GR-	All Funds		GR & GR-	All Funds	G	R & GR-		l Funds		R & GR-	All Funds	
	Dedicated		l	Dedicated		D	edicated			De	dicated		
Supreme Court of Texas													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 5,137,726	\$ 5,137,726	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.0	1.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Court of Criminal Appeals													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 6,685,068	\$ 6,685,068	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	2.0	2.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
14 Appellate Courts													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 13,087,337	\$ 13,087,337	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	33.1	33.1		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 159,903,986	\$ 160,297,382	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	24.0	24.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Office of Capital Writs													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	4.0	4.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Office of the State Prosecuting Attorney													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
State Law Library													
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 326,248	\$ 326,248	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.5	1.5		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0

	Outstanding Items fo					Consideration	า				Ter	ntative Workg	rour) Decisions		
Article IV, The Judiciary						Pende		ems		Prio			. .	Prior	ity 2	
Total, Article IV The Judiciary		2014-15 Bid				2014-15 Bid				2014-15 Bid				2014-15 Bie	•	tal
, ,		GR & GR-		All Funds		GR & GR-		All Funds		GR & GR-		All Funds	(GR & GR-	All Funds	
		Dedicated				Dedicated				Dedicated				Dedicated		
State Commission on Judicial Conduct																
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	270,622	\$	270,622	\$	-	\$		\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	+	1.0	Ψ	1.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0	Ψ	0.0
Total, I dil time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		1.0		1.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department																
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	1,937,585	\$	2,009,418	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Special Provisions																
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Total, Outstanding Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	187,645,247	\$	188,110,476	\$	<u> </u>	\$		\$	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
COST-OUT ADJUSTMENTS																
Office of Court Administration: GEER recommendation to	\$	(504,310)	2	(504,310)					\$		\$	_				
increase training efforts on court costs and fees for local court personnel. (Contingent on legislation)	Ψ	(304,310)	Ψ	(304,310)					Ψ		Ψ	_				
Technical Adjustments:																
									\$	-	\$	-				
Agency Requests:																
Office of Court Administration: Guardianship Examination	\$	(15,000)	\$	(15,000)					\$	-	\$	-				
Fees		. ,		· ,												
Total Cost-out Adjustments	\$	(519,310)	\$	(519,310)					\$	<u> </u>	\$	-				
				-												
Total GR & GR-Ded Adopted Items less Cost-out Adjust	\$	188,164,557	\$	187,591,166					\$	-	\$	-				

	Ou	utstanding Items f	or Consideration	1		Tentative Workg	oup Decisions	
Article IV, The Judiciary Total, Article IV The Judiciary	Items Not Inc 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated		Pended 2014-15 Big GR & GR- Dedicated	d Items ennial Total All Funds	Prior <u>2014-15 Bic</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	•	Prior 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated	•
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	66.6	66.6			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

	Out	standing Items for	Consideration	1	Т	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary Supreme Court of Texas Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc 2014-15 Bio GR & GR- Dedicated			d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
Technical Adjustments: 1. Rider 6, Texas Young Lawyers License Plate Receipts Comptroller's Biennial Revenue Estimate (BRE) for 2014- 15 estimates receipts of \$16,000 per fiscal year; Senate Bill 1 appropriates an estimated \$20,000 per fiscal year. (Text change only)	\$ -	\$ -						
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations: None.								
Agency Requests: 1. Staff Attorney Pay Increases Across the board salary increases for staff attorneys for Attorney Vs (13 FTEs), the annual salary would increase from \$93,232 to \$100,232; for the General Counsel IV and Clerk of the Court the annual salaries would increase from \$107,000 to approximately \$111,000.	\$ 198,000	\$ 198,000						
2. Non-legal Staff Pay Increases Non-legal staff salary increases likely to be across the board to raise deputy court clerk salaries to levels comparable with other appellate court clerks. (26 FTEs in 13 positions/classifications; average annual salary increase of \$1,750).	\$ 91,000	\$ 91,000						
Support for the Supreme Court Rules Function	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000						

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

Outs	star	nding Items for	Consideration		Т	entative Work	group Decision	ıs
<u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR-						-		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
\$ 4,598,726	\$	4,598,726						
\$ 80,000	\$	80,000						
\$ 50,000	\$	50,000						
\$ 5,137,726	\$	5,137,726	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
1.0		1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
\$	\$ 4,598,726 \$ 80,000 \$ 50,000 \$ 5,137,726	\$ 4,598,726 \$ \$ 5,137,726 \$ FY 2014	Items Not Included in SB 1	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds GR & GR- Dedicated \$ 4,598,726	2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds \$ 4,598,726	Items Not Included in SB 1	Items Not Included in SB 1	Items Not Included in SB 1

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

	Outs	standing Items for	Consideration	1	Т	entative Work	group Decision	s
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated		d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
Technical Adjustments:								
None.								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:								
None.								
Agency Requests:								
1. Enhance Appellate Court Operations (includes 2 FTEs)	\$ 1,292,846	\$ 1,292,846						
(a) Increase annual salary for General Counsel (\$95,000 to \$107,000 annually), and 24 Staff Attorneys (average annual increase of \$17,401; \$429,634 per fiscal year);								
(b) Increase annual salaries for nine law clerks from \$48,000 to \$50,000 annually (\$18,000 per fiscal year);								
(c) Increase 23 non-legal staff salaries (average annual increase of \$3,721; \$85,573 per fiscal year);								
(d) Restore 1 staff attorney position (\$93,231 per fiscal year); and								
(e) Custodian position (1 FTE, \$20,000 per fiscal year).								

	Outs	stanc	ling Items for	Consideration	ı	Т	entative Work	Vorkgroup Decisions		
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated	ennia	-		d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
2. Additional Funds for Judicial Education * The Comptroller's BRE projects total available revenue in 2014-15 of \$18.5 million in Fund 540. SB 1 provides \$16.7 million for judicial education. This leaves \$1.8 million in funds available for additional appropriations in 2014-15, an amount sufficient to fund this exceptional item request. (a) Increase of \$150,000 per fiscal year for Rider 8, Actual Innocence Training;	\$ 1,370,000	\$	1,370,000							
 (b) Increase of \$200,000 per fiscal year additional in administrative allocation (i.e. in addition to 3% allocation) for Rider 2b; (c) Increase of \$50,000 between fiscal years and between biennia in unexpended balance (currently set at \$100,000) authority for Rider 9, Unexpended Balance Authority Between Biennia and Within the Biennium; and (d) Increase of \$285,000 for unexpended balance authority for Rider 6, Refunds of Unexpended Balances from Training Authorities. 										

	Out	sta	nding Items for	Consideration		Т	entative Work	Vorkgroup Decisions	
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items	GR & GR-				d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total	Prior 2014-15 Big GR & GR-	rity 2 ennial Total
	Dedicated		All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
3. Restoration/Additional Funds for Judicial Education									
There is insufficient revenue available in Fund 540 to fund this item. (* See item 2 statement above.)	\$ 4,022,222	\$	4,022,222						
(a) \$71,250 per fiscal year for Rider 2a, Judicial Education, Constitutional County Judges;									
(b) \$296,250 per fiscal year for Rider 3a, Continuing Education for Prosecutors and Criminal Defense Attorneys (Prosecutors);									
(c) \$245,000 per fiscal year for Rider 3b,Continuing Education for Prosecutors and Criminal Defense Attorneys (Criminal Defense Attorneys);									
(d) \$67,500 per fiscal year for Rider 6, Refunds of Unexpended Balances from Training Entities;									
(e) \$50,000 per fiscal year for Rider 8, Actual Innocence Training;									
(f) \$57,500 per fiscal year for Rider 10, Public Defender Training; and									
(g) \$1,223,611 per fiscal year for grants per Government Code, Sec. 56.003 (\$407,870 each for the three grantees: Texas Center for the Judiciary, Texas Municipal Courts Education Center, and Texas Justice Courts Training Center).									
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:									

Decisions as of

Members: Senators Hinojosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

		Outs	tanding Items for	Consideration	1	Tentative Workgroup Decisions						
Article IV, The Judiciary Court of Criminal Appeals Exceptional Items		5 Bie	uded in SB 1 nnial Total		d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total		rity 2 ennial Total			
	Dedicated		All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds			
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 6,685,	,068	\$ 6,685,068	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
	FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

	Outs	stanc	ling Items for	Consideration		Т	entative Work	group Decision	s
Article IV, The Judiciary 14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items	Items Not Incl <u>2014-15 Bie</u> GR & GR- Dedicated	nnia		Pended 2014-15 Big GR & GR- Dedicated	d Items ennial Total All Funds	Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Prior 2014-15 Big GR & GR- Dedicated	-
Technical Adjustments:									
None.									
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations									
None.									
Agency Requests:									
First Court of Appeals, Houston	\$ 1,480,479	\$	1,480,479						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 6.0 FTEs)									
Second Court of Appeals, Fort Worth	\$ 1,519,734	\$	1,519,734						
(a) Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs) - \$1,268,556									
(b) Technology Request (technology upgrades, including telephone system, scanners) - \$251,178									
Third Court of Appeals, Austin	\$ 859,150	\$	859,150						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTE)									
Fourth Court of Appeals, San Antonio	\$ 1,184,842	\$	1,184,842						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 4.0 FTEs)									
Fifth Court of Appeals, Dallas	\$ 2,026,722	\$	2,026,722						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 5.1 FTEs)									
Sixth Court of Appeals, Texarkana	\$ 488,325	\$	488,325						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 0 FTE)									

		Outs	stand	ing Items for	Consideration	1	Т	entative Work	group Decision	S
Article IV, The Judiciary 14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items		tems Not Included 15 Bio				d Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total		rity 2 ennial Total
Exceptional items		Dedicated	,	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Seventh Court of Appeals, Amarillo	\$	636,698	\$	636,698						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)										
Eighth Court of Appeals, El Paso	\$	487,314	\$	487,314						
Similiar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)										
Ninth Court of Appeals, Beaumont	\$	646,104	\$	646,104						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTEs)										
Tenth Court of Appeals, Waco	\$	523,282	\$	523,282						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTE and \$403,282 for building/court relocation)										
Eleventh Court of Appeals, Eastland	\$	496,383	\$	496,383						
Similar Funding - Same-Sized Courts (includes 1.0 FTE)										
Twelfth Court of Appeals, Tyler	\$	442,152	\$	442,152						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 2.0 FTEs)										
Thirteenth Court of Appeals, Corpus Christi	\$	844,238	\$	844,238						
Similar Funding for Same-Sized Courts (includes 3.0 FTEs)										
Fourteenth Court of Appeals, Houston	\$	1,451,914	\$	1,451,914						
Similar Funding Same-Sized Courts (includes 3.0 FTEs)										
	1		!						1	

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

	Out	standing	ltems for	r Consideration	1	Т	entative Work	group Decisior	ıs
Article IV, The Judiciary 14 Appellate Courts Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc 2014-15 Bi GR & GR-				ed Items ennial Total		rity 1 ennial Total		rity 2 ennial Total
	Dedicated	All	Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:									
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 13,087,337	\$ 13	3,087,337	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	FY 2014	FY	2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	33.1		33.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Courts of Appeals
Similar Funding for Same-Size Courts Block Grant
Allocation of Block Grant Funds to Categories
Requested Funding for 2014-15

		R	eclassify			ilar Funding on-Attorney	Attorney	on-Attorney	Operating		Restored		
COA	Justices	La	w Clerks	Att	orney Staff	Staff	Salaries	Salaries	Costs	Total	FTEs	New FTEs	Total FTE
1	9	\$	78,000	\$	180,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 429,872	\$ 261,726	\$ 80,881	\$ 1,480,479	5.0	1.0	6.0
2	7	\$	76,760	\$	177,200	\$ 84,460	\$ 346,278	\$ 245,984	\$ 337,874	\$ 1,268,556	2.0		2.0
3	6	\$	-	\$	177,200	\$ -	\$ 546,000	\$ 92,788	\$ 43,162	\$ 859,150	1.0		1.0
4	7	\$	304,800	\$	-	\$ 346,080	\$ 83,696	\$ 124,002	\$ 326,264	\$ 1,184,842		4.0	4.0
5	13	\$	-	\$	445,000	\$ 94,276	\$ 973,895	\$ 340,691	\$ 172,860	\$ 2,026,722	5.1		5.1
6	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 201,000	\$ 137,325	\$ 150,000	\$ 488,325	-		-
7	4	\$	-	\$	195,000	\$ 69,800	\$ 257,600	\$ 36,758	\$ 77,540	\$ 636,698	1.0	1.0	2.0
8	3	\$	-	\$	160,000	\$ 66,565	\$ 182,000	\$ 42,435	\$ 36,314	\$ 487,314	1.0	1.0*	2.0
9	4	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 80,000	\$ 322,600	\$ 124,824	\$ 118,680	\$ 646,104	1.0		1.0
10	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 60,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 403,282	\$ 523,282		1.0	1.0
11	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 50,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 160,000	\$ 136,383	\$ 496,383		1.0	1.0
12	3	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 170,980	\$ 160,700	\$ 49,042	\$ 61,430	\$ 442,152	1.0	1.0	2.0
13	6	\$	-	\$	177,200	\$ 168,920	\$ 392,532	\$ 61,594	\$ 43,992	\$ 844,238	1.5	1.5	3.0
14	9	\$	226,600	\$	354,400	\$ 66,607	\$ 278,508	\$ 125,799	\$ 400,000	\$ 1,451,914	3.0	*	3.0
		\$	686,160	\$	1,866,000	\$ 1,707,688	\$ 4,354,681	\$ 1,832,968	\$ 2,388,662	\$ 12,836,159	21.6	10.5	33.1

^{*} FTE numbers have been updated from LAR submission

Decision Document

	Οι	itstanding Items for C	Consideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 iennial Total All Funds	Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
Technical Adjustments:		1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
None									
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:									
Increase appropriations and include a rider that allocates these funds for four FTEs to develop and provide education to local court personnel on court costs and fees. Revenue from the consolidated court cost would offset the cost of the FTEs. (Revenue gain is contigent on legislation).		\$ 504,310							
Agency Requests - Office of Court Administration: 1. Technology Support for State Courts and Judicial	\$ 2,212,171	\$ 2,212,171							
Agencies (includes 2 FTEs)									
(a) Includes funds to replace 60% of the computers and related hardware for the appellate courts and judicial agencies (\$1,766,835 in fiscal year 2014 only).									
(b) Funding for 2 FTE programmers. Agency had a loss of 3.4 FTEs in the 2012-13 biennium, and as a result, only has 2 programmers to support multiple software systems - (\$322,336).									
(c) Funding for the 5th Court of Appeals (Dallas) to offset the cost of its on-site network technician. The use of an on-site technician reduces the workload for OCA network technicians and expedites resolution of network issues - (\$123,000).									

Decision Document

		Ou	tstan	ding Items for C	onsideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Prior 2014-15 Bio GR & GR- Dedicated	rity 2 ennial Total All Funds		
	De	edicated		All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus	
Court Administration (includes 4 FTEs) (a) Judicial Information: Includes funding for a communications director and a research specialist. Because the OCA is the central repository for information on the Judicial Branch, providing information quickly and on demand is dependant on staffing. (2 FTEs, \$290,700 for the biennium)	\$	527,660	\$	527,660							
(b) Court Services: Includes funding for a court coordinator. Because OCA is mandated to consult with and assist courts, delivery of consulting services to the courts is difficult without adequate staff. This position has been held vacant because of recent budget cuts. (1 FTE, \$142,850 for the biennium)											
(c) Administrative Support Staff: Funding request for administrative support staff. Because of additional workload imposed on OCA, including 5 new functions since 2005, staff is needed. (1 FTE, \$94,110 for the biennium)											
3. Coordination of Language Access in Courts (includes 3 FTEs) - Funding request to establish a language access program at OCA to provide direct interpreter assistance to the courts in Texas. Funding request includes:	\$	389,395	\$	389,395							

Decision Document

	Ou	tstanding Items for C	Consideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items		cluded in SB 1 iennial Total All Funds	Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
(a) Request for funds to employ Spanish interpreters who may assist the courts (mostly rural) via the internet or telephone (2 FTEs);									
(b) Employ one language access coordinator (1 FTE); and									
(c) Appropriation of \$25,000 per fiscal year for interepreter services in languages not provided by OCA.									
4. Certification Division (includes 3 FTEs) - Realign 3 regulatory boards (Court Reporters Certification Board, Process Server Board, and Guardianship Certifiction Board) into a single division.	\$ 412,798	\$ 412,798							
(a) Funding for a division director (1 FTE), an executive assistant (1 FTE) and additional administrative support (1 FTE). (\$170,568 per fiscal year for salaries; \$49,956 in fiscal year 2014 for one-time office set up costs.)									
(b) Request includes travel funds for the Process Server Review Board; members are not compensated, however, travel reimbusement has been granted. (\$20,000 over the biennium.)									
5. Specialty Courts (includes 8 FTEs)	\$ 1,180,154	\$ 1,517,412							
 (a) One additional child support court in El Paso, due to growing caseload. OCA employs 43 associated judges to hear and dispose of Title IV-D child support cases. (2 FTEs) (\$307,556 over biennium) 									

Decision Document

		Outstanding Items fo				onsideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions			
Off	cle IV, The Judiciary ice of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council eptional Items	Items Not Included in S 2014-15 Biennial Tota GR & GR- Dedicated All Fu				Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial To GR & GR- Dedicated All Fu	
	(b) Three new child protection courts due to growing caseload. OCA has 17 child protection courts serving 120 counties. In FY 2011, held over 27,000 hearings. (\$951,464 over biennium)										
	(c) Salary increases for court coordinators in the child support and child protection courts. (\$204,642 over biennium)										
	(d) Professional development for child support court coordinators. (\$53,750 for fiscal year 2014)										
6	Docket Equalization	\$	20,174	\$ 20	,174						
	Funding supports the Supreme Court's transfer of cases from one court of appeals to another; covers traveling from one location to another.										
7	Unexpended Balance Authority within the biennium, Strategy C.1.3, Guardians and Process Servers	\$	-	\$	-						
	OCA requests UB Authority within the biennium for Strategy C.1.3. All other OCA appropriations, except for Texas.gov, have UB Authority.										

Members. Senators minojosa	i, Estes, numnan, rather
Decision Document	

			Ou	tstandi	ng Items for C	onsideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions			
Of	icle IV, The Judiciary fice of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council ceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			<u>Total</u>	Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
- 8	. Amend Rider 3, Information Services for the Trial Courts	\$	-	\$	-						
	OCA requests deletion of the set aside for trial court IT services (\$1,173,931) in Rider 3. In the alternative, if a dollar amount must be stated, OCA requests that they calculate the set aside.										
(. Rider Request- Guardianship Examination Fees	\$	15,000	\$	15,000						
	OCA requests the addition of a new rider, Guardianship Examination Fees, which would appropriate examination fees to the agency to offset the cost of developing and administering the certification exam. (New / additional revenue)										
10	Specialty Courts- Salary Increases for Associate Judges	\$	642,324	\$	698,462						
	Agency requests addition of a 4th tier to the salary schedule established by the Presiding Judges of the Administrative Judicial Regions. Currently the maximum salary for a judge is \$100,194 per fiscal year. The new maximum salary would be \$112,500 (55 judges).										

Decision Document

		Ou	tsta	anding Items for C	Consideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions			
Article IV, The Judiciary Office of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council Exceptional Items	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Tot GR & GR- Dedicated All Fur			
Agency Requests - Texas Indigent Defense Commission										
Indigent Defense - Restore Funding Texas Indigent Defense Commission requests restoration of estimated appropriation and unexpended balance authority that was eliminated during the Eighty-second Legislative Session, 2011. Agency currently has sum certain appropriation in the 2012-13 biennium, and the removal of estimated appropriation and unexpended balance authority resulted in funds in the General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account No. 5073 that cannot be used for the statutory purposes.	\$	18,466,531	\$	18,466,531						
2. Indigent Defense - Provide Full Funding to Counties TIDC through the administration of the grant programs fund approximately 15 percent of the cost of indigent defense, with counties covering about 85 percent. Funding of this exceptional item would help defray the costs borne by the counties for indigent defense representation. (Agency proposes that funding come from	\$	135,533,469	\$	135,533,469						

Decision Document

			Outs	standing Items for (Consideration		Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Offi	cle IV, The Judiciary ce of Court Administration, Texas Judicial Council eptional Items			uded in SB 1 nnial Total All Funds	nial Total 2014-15 Bio		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds	
3.	Rider 8, Texas Indigent Defense Commission TIDC requested revision includes adding estimated	\$	- (\$ -							
	appropriation and unexpended balance authority for Fair Defense Account No. 5073 as well as consolidating TIDC administrative costs for indigent defense and innocence project grants in single rider. The administrative costs associated with Innocence Project grants to four state law schools (\$80,000 per year and 1 FTE) is included in Rider 11, Innocence Projects in Senate Bill 1.										
4.		\$	- ;	\$ -							
	TIDC rider revision request would move language regarding administrative costs to Rider 8; consolidating all TIDC administrative costs into one rider.										
Woı	kgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:										
Tota	al, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 159,903,9	86 \$	160,297,382	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Tota	al, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	2	4.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

positions (4 FTEs)

Members: Senators Hinoiosa, Estes, Huffman, Patrick

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

Decision Document	LDD Analyst. Wary Ray Spellman			
	Outstanding Items for	or Consideration	Tentative Work	group Decisions
Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Included in SB 1	Pended Items	Priority 1	Priority 2
Office of Capital Writs	2014-15 Biennial Total	2014-15 Biennial Total	2014-15 Biennial Total	2014-15 Biennial Total
Exceptional Items	GR & GR-	GR & GR-	GR & GR-	GR & GR-
	Dedicated All Funds	Dedicated All Funds	Dedicated All Funds	Dedicated All Funds

L						
E	Technical Adjustments:					
	None.					
[Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:					
	None.					
4	Agency Requests:					
	1. Restore funding to OCW to the level appropriated by the	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675			
	Legislature in fiscal year 2011 and increase FTE					

- (a) \$200,000 from General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account, to provide funding for 2 FTEs in both fiscal years of the biennium (one attorney and one investigator).
- (b) \$96,675 from General Revenue-Dedicated Fair Defense Account, to provide funding for 2 additional FTEs in fiscal year 2015 (one attorney and one legal support staff member) only.

Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:

Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 296,675	\$ 296,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LBB Analyst: Mary Kay Spellman

Outs	standing Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
					-	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR-	
Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
	T						
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Items Not Incl 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated \$ -	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds \$ - \$ - FY 2014 FY 2015	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated \$ - \$ - \$ - FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2014	2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds All Funds	Items Not Included in SB 1	Items Not Included in SB 1	Items Not Included in SB 1

		Outs	stan	nding Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions					
Article IV, The Judiciary State Law Library Exceptional Items		Items Not Incl 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated			Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds	
Technical Adjustments:											
None.											
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:											
None.											
Agency Requests:											
1. One librarian (1 FTE, \$49,000 per fiscal year) and one part-time library assistant (0.5 FTE, \$14,124 per fiscal year)	\$	126,248	\$	126,248							
2. Books and Materials	\$	200,000	\$	200,000							
Increase funding for updates and supplements to standard reference materials. According to agency, legal periodical prices increase nearly 8% annually and baseline funding for 2014-15 would be inadequate to bring necessary research materials up-to-date.											
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:											
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$	326,248	\$	326,248	\$ -	\$ -	\$	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	•	020,240	Ψ	020,240	Ψ	<u> </u>	*		Ψ	· •	· •
		FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014		FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions		1.5		1.5	0.0	0.0	(0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

	Out	standing Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary State Commission on Judicial Conduct Exceptional Items		eluded in SB 1 ennial Total All Funds		d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds	
	Dedicated	All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus	Dedicated	All Fullus
Technical Adjustments:								
None.								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:								
None.								
Agency Requests:								
1. Additional Staff Attorney (1 FTE)	\$ 160,000	\$ 160,000						
One additional staff attorney (\$80,000 per fiscal year) for the purposes of not only lowering the time a case takes for resolution, but also reducing case backlog.								
2. Increase Attorney Salaries	\$ 110,622	\$ 110,622						
Staff Attorney (4 attorneys) salary increases (\$55,311 per fiscal year). Requested increases range from \$13,050 to \$17,500 per attorney and would bring each attorney's salary to \$80,000 per fiscal year.								
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions:								
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 270,622	\$ 270,622	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

LBB Analyst: Tina Beck

	Outs	standing Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary	Items Not Incl	uded in SB 1	Pende	d Items	Prio	rity 1	Priority 2	
Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department	2014-15 Bie	nnial Total	2014-15 Bio	ennial Total	2014-15 Bio	ennial Total	2014-15 Biennial Total	
Exceptional Items	GR & GR-		GR & GR-		GR & GR-		GR & GR-	
	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds	Dedicated	All Funds
Technical Adjustments:								
Amend Rider 9, Appropriation Transfers to remove the requirement that the Judiciary Section provide advance notification of appropriation transfers. The Judiciary Section would continue to provide notification of transfers under the amendment.								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:								
None.								
Agency Requests:								
1. Visiting Judges, Regions	\$ 750,000	\$ 750,000						
The presiding judges of the administrative judicial regions administer the visiting judge program for district courts. The judges are requesting a partial restoration of funds decreased from 2010-11 appropriated levels. The additional General Revenue funding would allow the presiding judges to make additional assignments, as needed, of visiting judges statewide when the active judge needs assistance with heavy dockets, illness, recusals, military service, and other unplanned reasons.								

LBB Analyst: Tina Beck

	Outs	standi	ng Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			-		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds		
\$	681,392	\$	681,392		1				
	\$	Items Not Incl 2014-15 Bie GR & GR- Dedicated \$ 681,392	Items Not Included 2014-15 Biennial GR & GR- Dedicated A \$ 681,392 \$	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds \$ 681,392 \$ 681,392	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated \$ 681,392 \$ 681,392	2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds \$ 681,392 \$ 681,392	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated \$ 681,392 \$ 681,392	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds \$ 681,392 \$ 681,392	Items Not Included in SB 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Dedicated Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds Dedicated All Funds Dedicated

LBB Analyst: Tina Beck

		Outs	tand	ling Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items	GR & GR- GI				Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 1 ennial Total All Funds		rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
(a) Criminal Division requests include: i) \$21,443 from Criminal Justice Grants (Other Funds) for county-forecasted increases in health insurance costs; ii) \$50,800 to provide insurance benefits for three employees upon retirement, which are provided to other county retirees until the retiree becomes eligible for Medicare; iii) \$105,148 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff; and, iv) \$80,000 from General Revenue to purchase five new vehicles to replace vehicles with mileage in excess of 140,000. Alternatively, with regard to the vehicle request, previous Legislatures have authorized the Department of Public Safety to transfer low-mileage vehicles to Walker County for the Criminal Division's use. The rider-authorized transfer could be made at no cost to the bill.	\$	479,456	\$	551,289						
(b) Civil Division requests include: i) \$16,330 from General Revenue for county-forecasted increases in health insurance costs; ii) \$128,000 to restore funding to provide two expert witnesses in a projected 17 complex cases out of 50 commitments initiated each fiscal year, as well as 3 anticipated retrials; and iii) \$93,530 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff.										

LBB Analyst: Tina Beck

FY 2014

0.0

	Ou	tstanding Items for	· Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Judiciary Section, Comptroller's Department Exceptional Items (c) Juvenile Division requests include: i) \$9,186 from General Revenue for county-forecasted increases in health insurance costs; and, ii) \$47,262 from General Revenue to provide a 4 percent pay raise to retain quality staff.		cluded in SB 1 ennial Total All Funds	Pended Items 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds		Priority 1 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Funds			rity 2 ennial Total All Funds
4. Montgomery County - 435th District Court. A request for funds from General Revenue for county-forecasted compensation and benefits increases, as well as increases in operating expenses.	\$ 26,737	26,737						
Workgroup Rider and Program Revisions and Additions: Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ 1,937,585	\$ 2,009,418	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$

FY 2015

0.0

FY 2014

0.0

FY 2015

0.0

FY 2014

0.0

FY 2015

0.0

Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions

FY 2015

0.0

FY 2014

0.0

	Out	standing Items for	Consideration	Tentative Workgroup Decisions				
Article IV, The Judiciary Special Provisions Exceptional Items	Items Not Inc 2014-15 Big GR & GR- Dedicated			d Items ennial Total All Funds		rity 1 ennial Total All Funds	Priority 2 2014-15 Biennial Total GR & GR- Dedicated All Fund	
Taskwissi Adiustussutas		I		Т		Т		
Technical Adjustments: None.								
Performance Review & Other Budget Recommendations:								
None.								
Agency Requests:								
Courts of Appeals								
Delete Sec. 7, Appellate Court Salary Limits								
The Fourteen Courts of Appeals request deletion of Sec. 7, which establishes salary limits for attorneys hired or promoted during a particular biennium to maintain comparable salaries for legal staff among the fourteen courts. The Courts' position is that salaries for attorneys are governed by the State Classification Act and no further restrictions need apply.								
Note: if the Appellate Court Salary Limit is continued, the salary limit for staff attorneys hired or promoted after September 1, 2013 should equal \$88,600 and for chief staff attorneys \$97,500.								
Total, Exceptional Items / Tentative Decisions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total, Full-time Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total, Fair anno Equivalents / Tentative Decisions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0