#### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Recommendations - House

## Page III-228 Dr. Parr Rosson, Interim Director Colin Brock, LBB Analyst


| Method of Financing    | 2018-19<br>Base | 2020-21<br>Recommended | Biennial<br>Change (\$) | Biennial<br>Change (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| General Revenue Funds  | \$88,109,046    | \$88,108,802           | (\$244)                 | (0.0%)                 |
| GR Dedicated Funds     | \$0             | \$O                    | \$0                     | 0.0%                   |
| Total GR-Related Funds | \$88,109,046    | \$88,108,802           | (\$244)                 | (0.0%)                 |
| Federal Funds          | \$26,809,370    | \$26,809,370           | \$0                     | 0.0%                   |
| Other                  | \$28,624,354    | \$28,608,808           | (\$15,546)              | (0.1%)                 |
| All Funds              | \$143,542,770   | \$143,526,980          | (\$15,790)              | (0.0%)                 |

|      | FY 2019  | FY 2021     | Biennial | Percent |
|------|----------|-------------|----------|---------|
|      | Budgeted | Recommended | Change   | Change  |
| FTEs | 1,020.6  | 1,020.6     | 0.0      | 0.0%    |


#### Agency Budget and Policy Issues and/or Highlights

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service (TAES) provides educational and training programs through a network of county extension agents across the state. The program areas include health and safety, agriculture and natural resources, and leadership development. The agency also provides wildlife and insect management services.

#### Historical Funding Levels (Millions)



#### Historical Full-Time-Equivalent Employees (FTEs)



The bill pattern for this agency (2020-21 Recommended) represents an estimated 58.5% of the agency's estimated total available funds for the 2020-21 biennium.

# Method o

1

## Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Funding Changes and Recommendations - House

| Funding Changes and Recommendations for the 2020-21 Biennium compared to the 2018-19 Base Spending Level (in millions)                                     |         | GR-Dedicated | Federal<br>Funds | Other Funds | All Funds | Strategy in<br>Appendix A |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------|--------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SIGNIFICANT Funding Changes and Recommendations (each issue is explained in Section 3 and additional details are provided in Appendix A):                  |         |              |                  |             |           |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| A) \$244 decrease in General Revenue Funds for Infrastructure Support Formula Funding to align with GAI Infrastructure Formula rate and updated space data | (\$0.0) | \$0.0        | \$0.0            | \$0.0       | (\$0.0)   | F.1.2, F.1.3.             |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Changes and Recommendations (in millions)  | (\$0.0) | \$0.0        | \$0.0            | \$0.0       | (\$0.0)   | As Listed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Increases  | \$0.0   | \$0.0        | \$0.0            | \$0.0       | \$0.0     | As Listed                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Decreases  | (\$0.0) | \$0.0        | \$0.0            | \$0.0       | (\$0.0)   | As Listed                 |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: Totals may not sum due to rounding.

#### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Selected Fiscal and Policy Issues - House

1. Infrastructure Support. Funding to Texas A&M System agencies for infrastructure support within Brazos County is calculated using the General Academic Institutions' infrastructure formula rate, but is adjusted for 2020-21 for each respective agency based on updated space data. Texas A&M System agricultural agencies (includes TAES) also receive funding for infrastructure support outside Brazos County which is proportionally allocated to those agencies by their percentage of total actual square footage, and the 2020-21 funding recommendations are maintained at 2018-19 total appropriations.

Section 3

#### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service

Summary of Federal Funds (2020 - 21) - House

Total \$26.8M

#### Selected Federal Fiscal and Policy Issues

Federal Funds estimates for the 2020-21 biennium maintain level funding equal to fiscal years 2018-19.

Programs with Significant Federal Funding Changes from 2018 - 19

Cooperative Extension Service \$26.8 100.0%



Funds to conduct research and education pertaining to food and agricultural sciences \_\_\_\_\_

Section 3a

#### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Rider Highlights - House

#### **Modification of Existing Riders**

1. Delete Appropriation of License Plate Receipts Rider. Recommendations include deletion of Appropriation of License Plate Receipts Rider due to redundancy. Article IX, Section 8.13 already grants the authority stated in the Rider in the TAES bill pattern.

# Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Items Not Included in Recommendations - House

|      |  | 2020-       | 21 Biennial Total |      |  |                          |  |
|------|--|-------------|-------------------|------|--|--------------------------|--|
|      |  | GR & GR-D   | All Funds         | FTEs | Information<br>Technology<br>Involved? | Contracting<br>Involved? | Estimated<br>Continued Cost<br>2022-23 |
| Ager | ncy Exceptional Items Not Included (in agency priority order)  |             |                   |      |  |                          |  |
| 1)   | Disaster Recovery and Future Proofing Texas<br>\$6.8 million in General Revenue (as well as 40.0 FTEs) that would be used to create six regional<br>Disaster Assessment and Recovery Teams (DARTs) made up of Extension professionals to provide<br>community resiliency planning, hazard mitigation education, emergency preparedness and long-<br>term recovery education.                                 | \$6,800,000 | \$6,800,000       | 40.0 | No                                     | No                       | \$6,800,000                            |
| 2)   | Wildlife and Zoonotic Disease Surveillance<br>\$2.0 million in General Revenue that would be used to support the TAES Wildlife Services<br>program. Funds would be used to develop and implement a systematic wildlife disease<br>surveillance system to detect, monitor, and address zoonotic and production diseases. The<br>request would directly fund personnel, disease sampling supplies, and travel. | \$2,000,000 | \$2,000,000       | 0.0  | No                                     | No                       | \$2,000,000                            |
| Ager | ncy Rider Requests Not Included  |             |                   |      |  |                          |  |
| 3)   | TAES requests that agency name be changed from TAES to "Extension" in bill pattern riders.   | N/A         | N/A               | 0.0  | No                                     | No                       | N/A                                    |
| то   | OTAL Items Not Included in Recommendations   | \$8,800,000 | \$8,800,000       | 40.0 |  |                          | \$8,800,000                            |

# Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Appendices - House

|          | Table of Contents                                      |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Appendix | Appendix Title   | Page |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| А        | Funding Changes and Recommendations by Strategy        | 8    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| В        | Summary of Federal Funds                               | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| с        | FTE Highlights   | 11   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D        | Performance Measure Highlights                         | 12   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E        | Summary of Ten Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options | 13   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 $\ensuremath{^*}\xspace$  Appendix is not included - no significant information to report

•

### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Funding Changes and Recommendations - House, by Strategy -- ALL FUNDS

| Strategy/Goal   | 2018-19<br>Base                   | 2020-21<br>Recommended            | Biennial<br>Change | %<br>Change                          | Comments   |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| HEALTH AND SAFETY EDUCATION A.1.1                               | \$28,862,504                      | \$28,726,104                      | (\$136,400)        |                                      | nendations include a \$136,400 decrease in All Funds due to a<br>funds across strategies.  |
| Total, Goal A, HEALTH AND SAFETY EDUCATION                      | \$28,862,504                      | \$28,726,104                      | (\$136,400)        | (0.5%)                               |  |
| EXTEND ED ON AG, NAT RES & ECON DEV B.1.1                       | \$70,935,684                      | \$71,026,104                      | \$90,420           | 1) \$16<br>strateg<br>2) \$67<br>and | nendations include:<br>2,812 increase in General Revenue Funds due to a shift of funds across<br>ies;<br>,523 decrease in Federal Funds due to a shift of funds across strategies;<br>369 decrease in Other Funds due to shift of funds across strategies. |
| Total, Goal B, AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES                | \$70,935,684                      | \$71,026,104                      | \$90,420           | 0.1%                                 |  |
| LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT C.1.1                                    | \$24,122,070                      | \$24,003,762                      | (\$118,308)        |                                      | nendations include a \$118,308 decrease in All Funds due<br>ft of funds across strategies.   |
| Total, Goal C, LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT                           | \$24,122,070                      | \$24,003,762                      | (\$118,308)        | (0.5%)                               |  |
| WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT D.1.1<br>Total, Goal D, WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT | \$6,427,970<br><b>\$6,427,970</b> | \$6,427,970<br><b>\$6,427,970</b> | \$0<br><b>\$0</b>  | 0.0%<br><b>0.0%</b>                  |  |
| STAFF GROUP INSURANCE E.1.1                                     | \$2,672,984                       | \$2,753,438                       | \$80,454           |                                      | nendations include \$80,454 increase in Federal Funds due to s costs proportionality requirements.   |
| WORKERS' COMP INSURANCE E.1.2                                   | \$623,685                         | \$626,788                         | \$3,103            | 0.5% Recomm                          | nendations include \$3,103 increase in All Funds due to changes in worker's assistion claims.  |
| UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE E.1.3                                    | \$56,431                          | \$56,712                          | \$281              |                                      | nendations include \$281 increase in All Funds due to changes in statutorily ted unemployment compensation insurance claims.   |
| OASI E.1.4  | \$1,171,417                       | \$1,206,676                       | \$35,259           |                                      | nendations include \$35,529 increase in Federal Funds due to changes to<br>Je and Survivor's Insurance program estimates.  |

## Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Funding Changes and Recommendations - House, by Strategy -- ALL FUNDS

| Strategy/Goal<br>Total, Goal E, STAFF BENEFITS | 2018-19<br>Base<br>\$4,524,517 | 2020-21<br>Recommended<br>\$4,643,614 | Biennial<br>Change<br>\$119,097 | %<br>Change<br>2.6% | Comments   |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION F.1.1                  | \$5,958,725                    | \$5,988,370                           | \$29,645                        | 5                   | Recommendations include \$29,645 increase in All Funds due to a<br>shift of funds between programming and indirect administration for personnel<br>support and operations. |
| INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT IN BRAZOS CO F.1.2      | \$1,427,160                    | \$1,396,570                           | (\$30,590)                      |                     | Infrastructure Support formula funding General Revenue amount for FY 2020-21 is based on GAI infrastructure formula rate and incorporates updated data.                    |
| INFRASTRUCT SUPP OUTSIDE BRAZOS CO F.1.3       | \$1,284,140                    | \$1,314,486                           | \$30,346                        |                     | Infrastructure Support formula funding General Revenue amount for FY 2020-21 maintains FY 2018-19 appropriated levels and incorporates updated data.                       |
| Total, Goal F, INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION         | \$8,670,025                    | \$8,699,426                           | \$29,401                        | 0.3%                |  |
| Grand Total, All Strategies                    | \$143,542,770                  | \$143,526,980                         | (\$15,790)                      | (0.0%)              |  |

## Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Federal Funds - House (Dollar amounts in Millions)

| Program                                   | Est 2018 | Bud 2019 | Rec 2020 | Rec 2021 | 2018-19<br>Base | 2020-21<br>Rec | 2020-21<br>Rec %<br>Total | Recommended<br>Over/(Under)<br>Base | % Change<br>from Base |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Smith-Lever Cooperative Extension Service | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$26.8          | \$26.8         | 100.0%                    | \$0.0                               | 0.0%                  |
| TOTAL:                                    | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$13.4   | \$26.8          | \$26.8         | 100.0%                    | \$0.0                               | 0.0%                  |

Appendix B

# Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service FTE Highlights - House

| Full-Time-Equivalent Positions | Expended<br>2017 | Estimated<br>2018 | Budgeted<br>2019 | Recommended<br>2020 | Recommended<br>2021 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Сар                            | 1,031.1          | 990.6             | 990.6            | 1,020.6             | 1,020.6             |
| Actual/Budgeted                | 963.9            | 965.1             | 1,020.6          | 1,020.6             | 1,020.6             |

Notes:

a) Increase in FTEs for Fiscal Years 2019-2021 associated with Healthy South Texas Interagency Contract with Texas A&M Health Science Center.

## Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Performance Measure Highlights - House

|  | Expended                    | Estimated             | Budgeted           | Recommended           | Recommended       |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|  | 2017                        | 2018                  | 2019               | 2020                  | 2021              |
| Health and Safety Education Direct Teaching Exposures  | 3,770,295                   | 3,841,452             | 3,841,452          | 3,841,452             | 3,841,452         |
| Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person contro<br>of the agency's extension educational program.                       | acts with Texas citizens wh | nich provide educatio | onal material rela | ted to health and sa  | fety that is part |
| Agriculture and Natural Resources Education Direct Teaching Exposures  | 13,626,235                  | 13,134,332            | 13,134,332         | 13,134,332            | 13,134,332        |
| Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person conteres resources that is part of the agency's extension educational program. | acts with Texas citizens wh | nich provide educatio | onal material rela | ted to agriculture ar | nd natural        |
| Leadership Development Direct Teaching Exposures   | 5,587,129                   | 5,319,833             | 5,319,833          | 5,319,833             | 5,319,833         |
| Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person conte<br>part of the agency's extension educational program.                   | acts with Texas citizens wh | nich provide educatio | onal material rela | ted to leadership de  | velopment that is |

## Appendix D

#### Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Ten Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options - House

|          |  |   | Biennial    | Reduction Am | ounts |                           |   |                          |                                    |
|----------|--|---|-------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Priority | ltem                                   | Description/Impact  | GR & GR-D   | All Funds    | FTEs  | Potential<br>Revenue Loss | Reduction as<br>% of<br>Program<br>GR/GR-D<br>Total | Program<br>GR/GR-D Total | Included in<br>Introduced<br>Bill? |
| 1)       | Reduce Indirect Administration         | 2.5% increment - Position reductions would reportedly impact the agency's ability<br>in timely processing and meeting deadlines in areas of fiscal services, financial<br>reporting, risk and compliance, and human resource operations. Decreased<br>capacity would reportedly increase the vulnerability of the agency in areas such as<br>disbursement processing incurring late payment fees, background checks, export<br>controls and areas for internal control.   | \$137,616   | \$137,616    | 1.5   | \$0                       | 2.3%  | \$5,988,370              | No                                 |
| 2)       | Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages   | 2.5% increment - Extension maintains a delivery network of professionals who<br>develop and conduct targeted education and technology transfer programs in the<br>areas of agriculture and natural resources, wildlife services, family community<br>health, and youth development for Texas communities and citizens. The loss of<br>programming capacity would reportedly diminish the agency's outreach to citizens.<br>Direct loss of County Commissioners Court funding that support extension educators<br>is estimated at \$292,500. | \$1,997,328 | \$1,997,328  | 26.5  | \$585,000                 | 1.5%  | \$130,183,940            | No                                 |
| 3)       | Reduce Indirect Administration         | Same as above.  | \$137,616   | \$137,616    | 1.5   | \$0                       | 2.3%  | \$5,988,370              | No                                 |
| 4)       | Reduce Programmatic Salaries and Wages | Same as above.  | \$1,997,328 | \$1,997,328  | 26.5  | \$585,000                 | 1.5%  | \$130,183,940            | No                                 |
| 5)       | Reduce Indirect Administration         | Same as above.  | \$137,616   | \$137,616    | 1.5   | \$0                       | 2.3%  | \$5,988,370              | No                                 |
| 6)       | Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages   | Same as above.  | \$1,997,328 | \$1,997,328  | 25.5  | \$585,000                 | 1.5%  | \$130,183,940            | No                                 |
| 7)       | Reduce Indirect Administration         | Same as above.  | \$137,616   | \$137,616    | 1.5   | \$0                       | 2.3%  | \$5,988,370              | No                                 |
| 8)       | Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages   | Same as above.  | \$1,997,327 | \$1,997,327  | 25.5  | \$585,000                 | 1.5%  | \$130,183,940            | No                                 |

TOTAL, 10% Reduction Options

\$8,539,775 \$8,539,775 110.0 \$2,340,000

Appendix E