Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Recommendations - Senate

Page III-226 Dr. Parr Rosson, Interim Director Colin Brock, LBB Analyst

Method of Financing	2018-19 Base	2020-21 Recommended	Biennial Change (\$)	Biennial Change (%)
General Revenue Funds	\$88,109,046	\$88,108,802	(\$244)	(0.0%)
GR Dedicated Funds	\$O	\$O	\$0	0.0%
Total GR-Related Funds	\$88,109,046	\$88,108,802	(\$244)	(0.0%)
Federal Funds	\$26,809,370	\$26,809,370	\$0	0.0%
Other	\$28,624,354	\$28,608,808	(\$15,546)	(0.1%)
All Funds	\$143,542,770	\$143,526,980	(\$15,790)	(0.0%)

	FY 2019	FY 2021	Biennial	Percent
	Budgeted	Recommended	Change	Change
FTEs	1,020.6	1,020.6	0.0	0.0%

Agency Budget and Policy Issues and/or Highlights

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service (TAES) provides educational and training programs through a network of county extension agents across the state. The program areas include health and safety, agriculture and natural resources, and leadership development. The agency also provides wildlife and insect management services.

Historical Funding Levels (Millions)



Historical Full-Time-Equivalent Employees (FTEs)



The bill pattern for this agency (2020-21 Recommended) represents an estimated 58.5% of the agency's estimated total available funds for the 2020-21 biennium.

1/24/2019

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Funding Changes and Recommendations - Senate

Funding Changes and Recommendations for the 2020-21 Biennium compared to the 2018-19 Base Spending Level (in millions)		GR-Dedicated	Federal Funds	Other Funds	All Funds	Strategy in Appendix A				
SIGNIFICANT Funding Changes and Recommendations (each issue is explained in Section 3 and additional details are provided in Appendix A):										
A) \$244 decrease in General Revenue Funds for Infrastructure Support Formula Funding to align with GAI Infrastructure Formula rate and updated space data	(\$0.0)	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	F.1.2, F.1.3.				
TOTAL SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Changes and Recommendations (in millions)	(\$0.0)	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	As Listed				
SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Increases	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	As Listed				
SIGNIFICANT & OTHER Funding Decreases	(\$0.0)	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	(\$0.0)	As Listed				

NOTE: Totals may not sum due to rounding.

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Selected Fiscal and Policy Issues - Senate

1. Infrastructure Support. Funding to Texas A&M System agencies for infrastructure support within Brazos County is calculated using the General Academic Institutions' infrastructure formula rate, but is adjusted for 2020-21 for each respective agency based on updated space data. Texas A&M System agricultural agencies (includes TAES) also receive funding for infrastructure support outside Brazos County which is proportionally allocated to those agencies by their percentage of total actual square footage, and the 2020-21 funding recommendations are maintained at 2018-19 total appropriations.

Section 3

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service

Summary of Federal Funds (2020 - 21) - Senate

Total \$26.8M

Selected Federal Fiscal and Policy Issues

Federal Funds estimates for the 2020-21 biennium maintain level funding equal to fiscal years 2018-19.

Programs with Significant Federal Funding Changes from 2018 - 19

Cooperative Extension Service \$26.8 100.0%



Funds to conduct research and education pertaining to food and agricultural sciences Section 3a

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Rider Highlights - Senate

Modification of Existing Riders

1. Delete Appropriation of License Plate Receipts Rider. Recommendations include deletion of Appropriation of License Plate Receipts Rider due to redundancy. Article IX, Section 8.13 already grants the authority stated in the Rider in the TAES bill pattern.

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Items Not Included in Recommendations - Senate

		2020-	21 Biennial Total				
		GR & GR-D	All Funds	FTEs	Information Technology Involved?	Contracting Involved?	Estimated Continued Cost 2022-23
Ager	ncy Exceptional Items Not Included (in agency priority order)						
1)	Disaster Recovery and Future Proofing Texas \$6.8 million in General Revenue (as well as 40.0 FTEs) that would be used to create six regional Disaster Assessment and Recovery Teams (DARTs) made up of Extension professionals to provide community resiliency planning, hazard mitigation education, emergency preparedness and long- term recovery education.	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	40.0	No	No	\$6,800,000
2)	Wildlife and Zoonotic Disease Surveillance \$2.0 million in General Revenue that would be used to support the TAES Wildlife Services program. Funds would be used to develop and implement a systematic wildlife disease surveillance system to detect, monitor, and address zoonotic and production diseases. The request would directly fund personnel, disease sampling supplies, and travel.	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	0.0	No	No	\$2,000,000
Ager	ncy Rider Requests Not Included						
3)	TAES requests that agency name be changed from TAES to "Extension" in bill pattern riders.	N/A	N/A	0.0	No	No	N/A
то	OTAL Items Not Included in Recommendations	\$8,800,000	\$8,800,000	40.0			\$8,800,000

Agency 555

Section 5

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Appendices - Senate

	Table of Contents								
Appendix	Appendix Title	Page							
А	Funding Changes and Recommendations by Strategy	8							
В	Summary of Federal Funds	10							
с	FTE Highlights	11							
D	Performance Measure Highlights	12							
E	Summary of Ten Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options	13							

* Appendix is not included - no significant information to report

•

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Funding Changes and Recommendations - Senate, by Strategy -- ALL FUNDS

Strategy/Goal	2018-19 Base	2020-21 Recommended	Biennial Change	% Change	Comments
HEALTH AND SAFETY EDUCATION A.1.1	\$28,862,504	\$28,726,104	(\$136,400)		nmendations include a \$136,400 decrease in All Funds due to a f funds across strategies.
Total, Goal A, HEALTH AND SAFETY EDUCATION	\$28,862,504	\$28,726,104	(\$136,400)	(0.5%)	
EXTEND ED ON AG, NAT RES & ECON DEV B.1.1	\$70,935,684	\$71,026,104	\$90,420	1) \$10 strates 2) \$67 and	amendations include: 52,812 increase in General Revenue Funds due to a shift of funds across gies; 7,523 decrease in Federal Funds due to a shift of funds across strategies; 869 decrease in Other Funds due to shift of funds across strategies.
Total, Goal B, AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES	\$70,935,684	\$71,026,104	\$90,420	0.1%	
LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT C.1.1	\$24,122,070	\$24,003,762	(\$118,308)	•	nmendations include a \$118,308 decrease in All Funds due nift of funds across strategies.
Total, Goal C, LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT	\$24,122,070	\$24,003,762	(\$118,308)	(0.5%)	
WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT D.1.1 Total, Goal D, WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT	\$6,427,970 \$6,427,970	\$6,427,970 \$6,427,970	\$0 \$0	0.0% 0.0%	
STAFF GROUP INSURANCE E.1.1	\$2,672,984	\$2,753,438	\$80,454		mendations include \$80,454 increase in Federal Funds due to its costs proportionality requirements.
WORKERS' COMP INSURANCE E.1.2	\$623,685	\$626,788	\$3,103	0.5% Recom	mendations include \$3,103 increase in All Funds due to changes in worker's ensation claims.
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE E.1.3	\$56,431	\$56,712	\$281		mendations include \$281 increase in All Funds due to changes in statutorily ated unemployment compensation insurance claims.
OASI E.1.4	\$1,171,417	\$1,206,676	\$35,259		mendations include \$35,529 increase in Federal Funds due to changes to ge and Survivor's Insurance program estimates.

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Funding Changes and Recommendations - Senate, by Strategy -- ALL FUNDS

Strategy/Goal Total, Goal E, STAFF BENEFITS	2018-19 Base \$4,524,517	2020-21 Recommended \$4,643,614	Biennial Change \$119,097	% Change 2.6%	Comments
INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION F.1.1	\$5,958,725	\$5,988,370	\$29,645	sł	ecommendations include \$29,645 increase in All Funds due to a nift of funds between programming and indirect administration for personnel upport and operations.
INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT IN BRAZOS CO F.1.2	\$1,427,160	\$1,396,570	(\$30,590)		frastructure Support formula funding General Revenue amount for FY 2020-21 is ased on GAI infrastructure formula rate and incorporates updated data.
INFRASTRUCT SUPP OUTSIDE BRAZOS CO F.1.3	\$1,284,140	\$1,314,486	\$30,346		frastructure Support formula funding General Revenue amount for FY 2020-21 a aintains FY 2018-19 appropriated levels and incorporates updated data.
Total, Goal F, INDIRECT ADMINISTRATION	\$8,670,025	\$8,699,426	\$29,401	0.3%	
Grand Total, All Strategies	\$143,542,770	\$143,526,980	(\$15,790)	(0.0%)	

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Federal Funds - Senate (Dollar amounts in Millions)

Program	Est 2018	Bud 2019	Rec 2020	Rec 2021	2018-19 Base	2020-21 Rec	2020-21 Rec % Total	Recommended Over/(Under) Base	% Change from Base
Smith-Lever Cooperative Extension Service	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$26.8	\$26.8	100.0%	\$0.0	0.0%
TOTAL:	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$13.4	\$26.8	\$26.8	100.0%	\$0.0	0.0%

Appendix B

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service FTE Highlights - Senate

Full-Time-Equivalent Positions	Expended 2017	Estimated 2018	Budgeted 2019	Recommended 2020	Recommended 2021
Сар	1,031.1	990.6	990.6	1,020.6	1,020.6
Actual/Budgeted	963.9	965.1	1,020.6	1,020.6	1,020.6

Notes:

a) Increase in FTEs for Fiscal Years 2019-2021 associated with Healthy South Texas Interagency Contract with Texas A&M Health Science Center.

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Performance Measure Highlights - Senate

	Expended	Estimated	Budgeted	Recommended	Recommended
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
 Health and Safety Education Direct Teaching Exposures 	3,770,295	3,841,452	3,841,452	3,841,452	3,841,452
Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person contac of the agency's extension educational program.	ts with Texas citizens wh	nich provide educatio	onal material rela	ted to health and sa	fety that is part
Agriculture and Natural Resources Education Direct Teaching Exposures	13,626,235	13,134,332	13,134,332	13,134,332	13,134,332
Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person contac resources that is part of the agency's extension educational program.	ts with Texas citizens wh	nich provide educatio	onal material rela	ted to agriculture ar	nd natural
Leadership Development Direct Teaching Exposures	5,587,129	5,319,833	5,319,833	5,319,833	5,319,833
Measure Explanation: This measure represents the number of person to person contac part of the agency's extension educational program.	ts with Texas citizens wh	nich provide educatio	onal material rela	ted to leadership de	velopment that is

Appendix D

Appendix E

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Summary of Ten Percent Biennial Base Reduction Options - Senate

			Biennial	Reduction Am	ounts				
Priority	ltem	Description/Impact	GR & GR-D	All Funds	FTEs	Potential Revenue Loss	Reduction as % of Program GR/GR-D Total	Program GR/GR-D Total	Included in Introduced Bill?
1)	Reduce Indirect Administration	2.5% increment - Position reductions would reportedly impact the agency's ability in timely processing and meeting deadlines in areas of fiscal services, financial reporting, risk and compliance, and human resource operations. Decreased capacity would reportedly increase the vulnerability of the agency in areas such as disbursement processing incurring late payment fees, background checks, export controls and areas for internal control.	\$137,616	\$137,616	1.5	\$0	2.3%	\$5,988,370	No
2)	Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages	2.5% increment - Extension maintains a delivery network of professionals who develop and conduct targeted education and technology transfer programs in the areas of agriculture and natural resources, wildlife services, family community health, and youth development for Texas communities and citizens. The loss of programming capacity would reportedly diminish the agency's outreach to citizens. Direct loss of County Commissioners Court funding that support extension educators is estimated at \$292,500.	\$1,997,328	\$1,997,328	26.5	\$585,000	1.5%	\$130,183,940	No
3)	Reduce Indirect Administration	Same as above.	\$137,616	\$137,616	1.5	\$0	2.3%	\$5,988,370	No
4)	Reduce Programmatic Salaries and Wages	Same as above.	\$1,997,328	\$1,997,328	26.5	\$585,000	1.5%	\$130,183,940	No
5)	Reduce Indirect Administration	Same as above.	\$137,616	\$137,616	1.5	\$0	2.3%	\$5,988,370	No
6)	Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages	Same as above.	\$1,997,328	\$1,997,328	25.5	\$585,000	1.5%	\$130,183,940	No
7)	Reduce Indirect Administration	Same as above.	\$137,616	\$137,616	1.5	\$0	2.3%	\$5,988,370	No
8)	Reduce Programmatic Salaries & Wages	Same as above.	\$1,997,327	\$1,997,327	25.5	\$585,000	1.5%	\$130,183,940	No

TOTAL, 10% Reduction Options

\$8,539,775 \$8,539,775 110.0 \$2,340,000